

## Question Time

Chancellor Carwein has said that Action Plan 41 and the USAP process are about making "strategic decisions to allocate resources **according to the priorities identified in Plan 2020,**" How is it, then, that Action Plan 41 completely ignores key priorities of that Plan 2020, while disproportionately favoring others. For example, there is virtually no acknowledgement, let alone a plan of action, for the goals of internationalization (I.C.2-6) and interdisciplinarity (I.C.1). Since these crucial goals of Plan 2020 have been excised from Action Plan 41, are we to understand that the university has abandoned them as priorities?

Nancy Virtue  
Department of International Language and Culture Studies