

FORT WAYNE SENATE AGENDA
MONDAY
December 9, 2013
12:00 P.M., KT G46

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of November 11, 2013
3. Acceptance of the agenda – B. Valliere
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University – M. Nusbaumer
 - b. Purdue University – P. Dragnev
5. Report of the Presiding Officer – A. Downs
6. Special business of the day – Parliamentary Procedure – J. Malanson
7. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 13-12) – M. Nusbaumer
 - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 13-8) – Y. Zubovic
 - c. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 13-9) – B. Valliere
 - d. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 13-10) – B. Valliere
 - e. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 13-11) – B. Valliere
8. Question Time
 - a. (Senate Reference No. 13-14) – J. Malanson
 - b. (Senate Reference No. 13-15) – J. Malanson
 - c. (Senate Reference No. 13-16) – J. Badia
9. New business
10. Committee reports “for information only”
 - a. Graduate Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 13-17)
11. The general good and welfare of the University
12. Adjournment*

*The meeting will recess or adjourn by 1:15 p.m.

Approving

J. Casazza
A. Downs
M. Nusbaumer
K. Pollock
B. Valliere, Chair
Y. Zubovic

Non Voting

J. Malanson

Absent

P. Dragnev

Attachments:

- “Slate for the Election of the Indiana University Faculty Board of Review” (SR No. 13-12)
- “Code for grade not submitted” (SD 13-8)
- “Approval of replacement member of the University Resource Policy Committee” (SD 13-9)
- “Approval of replacement member of the Executive Committee” (SD 13-10)
- “House Joint Resolution 6” (SD 13-11) See PU and IU attachments
- “Institutional research on number of credit hours” (SR No. 13-14)
- “Effective advising and the impact it has on students” (SR No. 13-15)
- “Approval of 12 tenure-track positions” (SR No. 13-16)
- “Proposal for the Doctor of Nursing Practice” (SR No. 13-17) see website for reference (104 pages)

MEMORANDUM

TO: Indiana University Senators

FROM: Michael Nusbaumer, Chair
Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs

DATE: November 19, 2013

SUBJ: Slate for 2014-2015 Faculty Board of Review Election

Here is the slate of Indiana University tenured faculty members who have indicated their willingness to serve on the IPFW Faculty Board of Review. Members of this body will be elected by Senators with Indiana University affiliation at the Senate meeting on December 9.

sm

Slate

Sheena Choi
Brian Fife
M. Gail Hickey
Joseph Khamalah
Lidan Lin
Ann Livschiz
Linda Wright-Bower
Karla Zepeda

TO: IPFW Senate

FROM: Educational Policy Committee
Yvonne Zubovic, chair

DATE: November 15, 2013

RE: Code for Grade Not Submitted

WHEREAS, under the current system if a grade has not been submitted by the grade entry deadline the course enrollment does not appear on the student record; and

WHEREAS, courses for which grades are not received until the end of a term such as in the school based program are not listed in the student record until the grade is received and processed; and

WHEREAS, this omission leads to confusion for students and advisors who do not know the grade was not submitted; and

WHEREAS, this confusion could be reduced if it were communicated to a student that a final grade has not been received or processed;

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Registrar will enter a placeholder code of NS, for Grade Not Submitted, to all enrollments when the grade entry deadline is missed or to reflect the status of a grade which will be received after the end of the term (for example, school based program grades). Any course with the NS placeholder is omitted from GPA computation. The NS is replaced by a submitted grade. This change will be effective beginning Spring 2014.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Fort Wayne Senate

FROM: Executive Committee
Brenda Valliere, Chair

DATE: November 19, 2013

SUBJ: Approval of replacement member of the University Resources Policy Committee

DISPOSITION: To the Presiding Officer for implementation

WHEREAS, The Bylaws of the Senate provide (5.1.2.) that "... Senate Committees ... shall have the power to fill Committee vacancies for the remainder of an academic year, subject to Senate approval at its next regular meeting"; and

WHEREAS, There is one vacancy on the University Resources Policy Committee; and

WHEREAS, Bruce Kingsbury has already been elected to serve a term on the University Resources Policy Committee from 2012 – 2015; and

WHEREAS, The University Resources Policy Committee has appointed Bruce Kingsbury for the remainder of the 2013-14 academic year;

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Senate approve this appointment.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Fort Wayne Senate

FROM: Executive Committee
Brenda Valliere, Chair

DATE: November 19, 2013

SUBJ: Approval of replacement member of the Executive Committee

DISPOSITION: To the Presiding Officer for implementation

WHEREAS, The Bylaws of the Senate provide (5.1.2.) that "... Senate Committees ... shall have the power to fill Committee vacancies for the remainder of an academic year, subject to Senate approval at its next regular meeting"; and

WHEREAS, There is one vacancy on the Executive Committee; and

WHEREAS, The Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee has appointed Ada Di as the replacement member for the remainder of the 2013-14 academic year; and

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Senate approve this appointment.

TO: The Fort Wayne Senate
FROM: Executive Committee
Brenda Valliere, Chair
RE: House Joint Resolution 6
DATE: November 25, 2013

WHEREAS, House Joint Resolution 6 reads as follows: “Section 38. Only a marriage between one (1) man and one (1) woman shall be valid or recognized as marriage in Indiana. A legal status identical or substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid or recognized.”, and

WHEREAS, the Purdue University Senate has registered its opposition to House Joint Resolution 6, and

WHEREAS, the Indiana University Faculty Council has registered its opposition to House Joint Resolution 6 and,

BE IT RESOLVED, the IPFW Senate stands in opposition to House Joint Resolution 6.

To: The University Senate
From: David A. Sanders
Subject: The Purdue University Senate Expresses Public Opposition to the Amendment to the Indiana Constitution Concerning Marriage (HJR-6)
Disposition: University Senate for Approval

WHEREAS: "Purdue University is committed to maintaining a community which recognizes and values the inherent worth and dignity of every person; fosters tolerance, sensitivity, understanding, and mutual respect among its members; and encourages each individual to strive to reach his or her own potential. In pursuit of its goal of academic excellence, the University seeks to develop and nurture diversity. The University believes that diversity among its many members strengthens the institution, stimulates creativity, promotes the exchange of ideas, and enriches campus life;"* and

WHEREAS: "Purdue University does not condone and will not tolerate discrimination against any individual on the basis of ... sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression;"* and

WHEREAS: Purdue University has extended benefits to same-sex domestic partners of University employees and their eligible children since 2003; and

WHEREAS: The amendment to Article 1 of the Indiana Constitution concerning marriage (referred to as HJR-6) is contrary to the values and policies of Purdue University and will harm employee recruitment and retention.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Purdue University Senate opposes the Indiana State Constitutional amendment concerning marriage (HJR-6) and therefore strongly urges the Indiana General Assembly and the Governor of Indiana to oppose adoption of HJR-6.

Respectfully submitted,
David A. Sanders

*** Purdue University Faculty & Staff Handbook Equal Opportunity Policies**

Rationale for The Purdue University Senate Expresses Public Opposition to the Amendment to the Indiana Constitution Concerning Marriage (HJR-6)

The Indiana General Assembly is projected to be in session before the January meeting of the Purdue University Senate and will be confronting HJR-6 early. If the sentiment of the Purdue University Senate is to be heard, it must be at our November 18, 2013 meeting.

It is the second sentence of the amendment that is relevant to the benefits offered to employees at Purdue University.

Text of the proposed amendment to the Indiana Constitution:

“Only a marriage between one (1) man and one (1) woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in Indiana. A legal status identical or substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid or recognized.”

Resolution of the
Indiana University Faculty Council
October 29, 2013

The Indiana University Faculty Council ...

- 1) Affirms its long-standing opposition to proposed amendments to the Constitution of the State of Indiana¹ currently reflected in House Joint Resolution 6²
- 2) Endorses the University's decision to join the Freedom Indiana Coalition in opposition to these proposals and
- 3) Urges faculty governance bodies at all IU campuses to adopt resolutions in support of the two points made above.

¹ <http://www.indiana.edu/~ufc/docs/addDocs/AY07/U14-2007.pdf>

² <http://www.in.gov/legislative/bills/2011/RES/HJ0006.2.html>

Question Time

What institutional research, if any, has been done to determine the specific reasons why so many IPFW students do not take 15 credit hours per semester or graduate in 4-6 years? We have all heard the generic reasons that our students have jobs, families, and “busy lives,” but have we investigated the specific dynamics at work (e.g., number of students with excess credits and attempted hours upon graduation and the causes of those excess credits and attempted hours; average number of hours worked and the impact these hours have on student performance; performance of full-time vs. part-time students, in terms of GPA, graduation rates, time-to-graduation; student-advisor contact vs. semesters to graduation, etc.) in order to help us devise and enact more targeted reforms, assistance programs, or information campaigns?

Jeff Malanson
Department of History

Question Time

Knowing that effective advising can play a critical role in helping students make informed decisions when choosing classes (helping them to minimize wasted credits and thus graduate in a more timely manner), why doesn't IPFW require students to meet with their advisors before registering for classes, especially in the first few semesters?

Jeff Malanson
Department of History

Question Time

I understand that 12 tenure-track positions were approved this year. Which departments received these positions? What metrics or criteria were used to rank the entire list of requested positions to arrive at these 12? And, will the same metrics/criteria be used next year as well?

Janet Badia
Department of Women's Studies

To: IPFW Senate

From: Graduate Subcommittee
Maneesh Sharma, Chair

Date: November 26, 2012

Re: Proposal for the Doctor of Nursing Practice

The Graduate Subcommittee supports the proposal for the Doctor of Nursing Practice, and finds that the proposal requires no Senate review. The committee voted (five) for and (zero) against in passing this proposal. The committee requests that item be included as an agenda item for the December senate meeting.

Any questions regarding this proposal can be directed to Maneesh Sharma.