

Minutes of the
Eighth Regular Meeting of the Twenty-Fourth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
April 11, 2005
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of March 14, 2005
3. Acceptance of the agenda – J. Grant
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University – B. Fife
 - b. Purdue University – E. Blakemore
5. Report of the Presiding Officer – G. Bullion
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Reference No. 04-17) – M. Codispoti
 - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 04-11[revised]) – J. Tankel
 - c. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-13) – N. Younis
 - d. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 04-14) – J. Tankel
7. Question Time (Senate Reference No. 04-18)
8. New business
9. Committee reports “for information only”
 - a. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 04-19) – J. Grant
 - b. Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 04-20) – M. Codispoti
 - c. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 04-21) – J. Grant
10. The general good and welfare of the University
11. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: G. Bullion
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: G. Steffen
Secretary: J. Petersen

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, R. Bean, L. Beineke, E. Blakemore, W. Branson, J. Brennan, M. Codispoti, S. Davis, P. Dragnev, D. Erbach,
B. Fife, L. Fox, R. Friedman, P. Goodman, J. Grant, T. Grove, S. Hannah, L. Hess, P. Iadicola, S. Isiorho, A. Karim,
L. Kuznar, L. Lin, M. Lipman, M. Montesino, G. Mourad, A. Mustafa, E. Neal, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, A. Perez,
D. Ross, H. Samavati, J. Tankel, S. Tannous, J. Toole, S. Troy, G. Volland, L. Wark, N. Younis

Senate Members Absent:

S. Blythe, C. Erickson, J. Garrett, D. Goodman, Z. Liang, N. McFarland, L. Meyer, G. Moss, R. Murray, M. Sharma,
M. Wartell

Attachments:

[“Results of Senate Committee and Subcommittee Elections”](#) (SR No. 04-22)

[“Amendment to SD 85-18 \[Academic Regulations and Procedures\]”](#) (SD 04-11) – Final Examinations
and Instructors’ Grade Reports

[“IPFW Statement on Integrity”](#) (SD 04-13)

[“Senior Scholars Program”](#) (SD 04-14)

[“Report from Question Time: J. Dahl \[for Chancellor Wartell\]”](#) (Attachment A)

Note: The [Bylaws of the Senate](#) and the [Academic Regulations](#), as amended, will be distributed separately to the entire Faculty in the fall.

Faculty Members Present: E. Blumenthal, J. Clausen, S. Sarratore

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, R. Kostrubanic, P. McLaughlin, K. Stockman (*Journal Gazette*)

Acta

1. Call to order: G. Bullion called the meeting to order at 12:01 noon.
2. Approval of the minutes of March 14, 2005: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

J. Grant moved to approve the agenda as distributed.

The agenda was approved as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

a. Indiana University:

B. Fife: I would like to thank a number of individuals for their service to the University. First, it has been a pleasure working with Presiding Officer, George Bullion and Purdue Speaker, Elaine Blakemore. Second, I would express the same sentiment to the remaining members of the Executive Committee: Jane Grant, Margit Codispoti, Lucy Hess, Nash Younis, and Jacqui Petersen. All of these fine people are dedicated to this institution and worked diligently to enhance our community. They deserve our gratitude as such. In addition, thanks to all of you who responded to the Call for Action. Collectively, many campus citizens will explore matters that may have been considered off limits in the past. This is a sign of institutional progress in many ways, and I continue to believe that IPFW has a very bright future indeed. Thank you.

b. Purdue University:

E. Blakemore: I also would like to offer my thanks to the other members of the Executive Committee: the Speaker of the Indiana University faculty, Senator Fife; and to George Bullion as Presiding Officer. This is my last meeting as Purdue Speaker. I have enjoyed my two years in this role, and I welcome Nash Younis as next year's Speaker of the Purdue faculty.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer – G. Bullion:

I echo the same sentiment expressed by Professors Fife and Blakemore. Service on the Executive Committee has been a fun service this year. Our group has had good “give and take,” and it made it worthwhile. To the administration: the opportunity that Brian, Elaine,

and I had to meet with Chancellor Wartell and Vice Chancellor Hannah and others had good “give and take,” and it was very informative and meaningful in that regard.

You will soon receive the information for the Call for Action taskforce. I think the membership is established, and everyone is being notified. You are being

encouraged to get organized before the school year ends. We are not asking you to work over the summer, but if you can just get organized, that will put you in a position to at least hit the ground running in the fall. I would note, also, that on April 29 (and Vice Chancellor Hannah may have more to say about that), a Strategic Planning and Review Council planning session is taking place. All members of the university community are encouraged to be a part of that, but particularly I would encourage the members of the Senate and those that have joined the membership of the taskforce that has been established to attend. That would be a particular meaningful time for participation.

At the last meeting of the Executive Committee we considered trying to encourage our standing committees to get off the ground as early as possible in the fall semester. We did not have any ready-made answers for that, but we thought we would at least encourage the committees, once the membership is established, to maybe accept the same challenge that was thrown to the taskforce: to see if the chair could be elected so that the efforts to establish the framework of the agenda for the committees for the 2005-2006 academic year could be done as expeditiously as possible in the latter part of the summer. That would make the year effective. What we have particularly looked at is that it is often difficult for the organization on the standing committees to become operable before October. Since the work really needs to be wrapped up almost by the end of February, taking into account holidays and end and start of semester, it really leaves a very limited amount of time. So I just leave that where it is. I encourage standing committees to accept that challenge, maybe with the chair who is the outgoing chair for this year trying to move that in some kind of expeditious fashion. We are not telling you how, we are just trying to say you are encouraged.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Nominations and Elections Committee (SR No. 04-17) – M. Codispoti:

The Nominations and Elections Committee conducted the election to fill vacancies on Senate committees and subcommittees. (For results, see SR No. 04-22, attached.)

b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 04-11 [revised]) – J. Tankel:

J. Tankel moved to approve SD 04-11 (revised) (Amendment to SD 85-18 [Academic Regulations and Procedures]). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-11 (revised) passed on a voice vote.

c. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 04-13) – N. Younis:

N. Younis moved to approve SD 04-13 (IPFW Statement on Integrity). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-13 passed on a voice vote.

d. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 04-14) – J. Tankel:

J. Tankel moved to approve SD 04-14 (Senior Scholars Program). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-14 passed on a voice vote.

7. Question Time:

Based upon SR 04-15, Table 3, IPFW appears to be comparatively underfunding in the Research and Academic Support areas, while overfunding in the Institutional Support area. Could you please explain these apparent divergences?

**Michael Nusbaumer
Department of Sociology**

J. Dahl: First, some general comments:

The handout you were given is the same as the one in the March 14 Senate agenda, except that it only shows the Indiana schools that are Master's I institutions. These are the institutions most comparable to IPFW. The handout also includes the descriptions of the various categories. The percentages are the percent of a whole. Every category, in turn, affects every other category.

The areas of Research and Academic Support do appear to be underfunded, while the Institutional Support area does appear to be overfunded.

However, the numbers have to be kept in the context of all of the categories. For example, the University of Southern Indiana has more than 17% of total spending in their Auxiliary Enterprise area - which is most likely their campus housing. This makes their spending for Instruction look small.

Now to the question from Senator Nusbaumer:

The Research area reflects expenditures for research that is externally funded. We agree that there should be more external research funding, and we have created the Office of Research and External Support to promote growth in funded research.

A primary part of the Academic Support area is the library. We know from separate library expenditure surveys that we do not spend as much as many schools do for library services. We did make a \$100,000 allocation to the library for this year, and we will continue to look for opportunities to increase library funding in the future. A second part of this category is support for instructional development, and we have increased funding for the CELT office to provide this service.

The report from the Budgetary Affairs Committee showed that the Institutional Support area had been 10-11% of total expenditures in the ten years before 2002-03. This category grew for 2002-03 because of investments in marketing and publications. Both of these areas needed additional funding to respond to increased competition for students and to improve the quality of our publications. We have received many compliments on both our marketing materials and our publications. We also created an enrollment contingency fund so that we are prepared if enrollments do not meet projections.

G. Bullion: Professor Nusbaumer, does that answer your questions?

M. Nusbaumer: I guess, to a certain extent it does. I realize this is probably not the question to direct to you (Jack), but if indeed we spent significant amounts of money in

marketing and yet we have not shifted enrollment significantly, I assume that, therefore, we would analyze how successful those expenditures were.

J. Dahl: All I can say is that those kinds of analyses are done and I would also point to one of the most recent initiatives because it was unusual. For the first time, there was direct marketing of graduate programs. You may have seen the billboards. There have also been some print materials. There has been a direct increase in graduate applications that we have seen in the weeks since those came out. There is also a projected downside aspect to marketing. Other schools are marketing their programs in this area, and there is some feeling that we have to “keep up with the Joneses.”

S. Davis: Being an ex auditor, I am always interested in accounts called “other.” What is in this “Other Non Operating Expenses”?

J. Dahl: I’m sorry. I don’t know. I have asked that question and have not yet received an answer from the accountants in West Lafayette.

8. New business: There was no new business.

9. Committee reports “for information only”:

a. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 04-19) – J. Grant:

J. Grant presented Senate Reference No. 04-19 (Senate Membership, 2005-2006) for information only.

b. Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 04-20) – M. Codispoti:

M. Codispoti presented Senate Reference No. 04-20 (Bachelor of Science in Interior Design) for information only.

c. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 04-21) – J. Grant:

J. Grant presented Senate Reference No. 04-21 (End-of-the-Year Committee Reports) for information only.

10. The general good and welfare of the University:

S. Hannah: I would just like to repeat Dr. Bullion's invitation to participate in the campus planning day on April 29. This is an opportunity to get a lot of issues out there, including the ones suggested by the Senate. In the morning, there will be briefing sessions on various and sundry issues just to remind people where we are. Faculty will be presenting during that period of time, and then there will be discussion opportunities. Then, if you come back, you get a free lunch. So, here is an opportunity to get a whole range of ideas about the next five-year plan for the university. All of these ideas will then come back to the Strategic Planning and Review Council, who will be responsible for helping to develop the next strategic plan for the university. I very much encourage you to participate and look forward to seeing all of you there.

E. Blakemore: This may be the wrong audience for this comment, but I look around and see that several senators are not here today, and I just want to say how discouraging it is when some members of the Senate have seldom been here during the course of the year.

N. Younis: As this is the last meeting of the academic year, it is time to thank our wonderful secretary.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

Jacqueline J. Petersen
Secretary of the Faculty