

Minutes of the  
Fifth Regular Meeting of the Seventh Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne  
January 18, 1988  
Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of December 14, 1987
3. Acceptance of the agenda - A. Finco
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Purdue University - D. McCants
  - b. Indiana University - S. Hollander
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
  - Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 87-23) - S. Manheimer
7. New business
  - a. Senate Document SD 87-24 - A. Finco
  - b. Senate Document SD 87-25 - J. Owen
  - c. Senate Document SD 87-22 - A. Finco
8. Committee reports "for information only"
  - a. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Reference No. 87-10, Report of Work in Progress by the Faculty Affairs Committee) - S. Harroff
  - b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 87-12, Documents under Deliberation in Senate Committees and Subcommittees) - A. Finco
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

K. Bordens, J. Carnaghi, J. Clausen, F. Codispoti, A. Dirkes, A. Finco, P. Flynn, E. Foley, W. Frederick, A. Friedel, H. Garcia, L. Graham, S. Harroff, J. Haw, J. Hersberger, S. Hockemeyer, S. Hollander, P. Iadicola, A. Karna, M. Laudeman, B. Lingaraj, S. Manheimer, D. McCants, E. Messal, D. Oberstar, D. Onwood, J. Outland, J. Owen, K. Perry, A. Pugh, J. Rivers, M. Rosenfeld, D. Ross, S. Sarratore, D. Schmidt, R. Sedlmeyer, J. Silver, S. Skekloff, J. Smulkstys, E. Snyder, K. Squadrito, J. Sunderman, D. Swinehart, W. Unsell, K. Wakley, T. Wallace, J. Wilson

Senate Members Absent:

M. Adair, R. Detraz, M. Downs, M. Hayden, K. Keller, F. Kirchhoff, J. Porter, S. Usman, D. Wartzok, W. Worthley, P. Zonakis

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, V. Coufoudakis, C. Scott, R. Svoboda

---

Attachments:

["Revisions to Senate Document SD 86-22: Contingency Planning Policy and Procedures"](#) (SD 87-23)

["Contingency Planning Policy and Procedures"](#) (SD 86-22)

["Twenty-Fifth Anniversary of IPFW"](#) (SD 87-24)

["Membership eligibility resolution"](#) (SD 87-25)

["Adoption of Official School Colors"](#) (SD 87-22)

Visitors Present:

D. Benson, J. Clinton, J. Dahl, M. Dinnerstein, K. Maly, A. Montgomery, N. Newell,  
R. Steiner, J. Williams

Acta

1. Call to order: T. Wallace called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of December 14, 1987: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:  
  
A. Finco moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.  
  
The agenda was accepted as distributed.
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
  - a. D. McCants had no report.
  - b. S. Hollander:

Happy New Year.

If you were present during registration earlier this month, you probably saw problems with getting access to The System. You may even have heard a few unusual words from your normally polite secretary. I'm informed that an attempt is being made to discover the causes of the problem--apparently, at one point 60 terminals were trying to log on to an already full system--and to fix things so that they run more smoothly next time.

Few faculty members have attended the interviews of would-be vice chancellors for academic affairs. I can understand people's reluctance to take part in a system which has not received awards for securing excellent hires. I know that beginnings of semesters are busy. But I would urge you to participate in the process. You might help us all get lucky next time.

I have received from George Pinnell the routine IU inquiry about our proposed constitutional amendments. He reminded me that the IU trustees will not approve or disapprove faculty constitutions. I reminded him that we'd had that conversation before, and that President Ehrlich's approval of the document with a statement that it did not need to go to the IU board would suffice for our purposes. I have also heard rumors that West Lafayette has expressed more-than-routine interest in the proposed constitutional amendments.

President Ehrlich has set up seven university-wide task forces, and this campus is represented on three of them. They are looking at the content of undergraduate education, faculty as mentors for undergraduates, professional education, research and the research degree, access to quality education, economic growth, and the identity of Indiana University. The various groups are linked by a very-high-tech electronic mail system that sometimes works, by conference calls that seldom work, and by a lot of effective goodwill. It's a long-range-planning effort that looks promising, though I said that a year ago when speaking of Chancellor Wallace's strategic-planning effort toward which I now feel quite differently.

The two presidents are scheduled to visit IPFW on February 2 to discuss amending and renewing the fiscal and management agreement. I hope that they will find good weather--you may remember that last time the weather canceled their visit--and an interested faculty.

The speakers met with the development director late last year and endorsed the notion of an on-campus, in-house annual fund drive. Three major fund-raising priorities are set: the library, the CSSAC scholarship fund, and additional equipment not currently budgeted for the new School of Fine and Performing Arts. You will be able to designate other areas if you wish. It is a totally flexible system. Mike Downs has agreed to chair the drive, and he'll be hard to say "no" to when he calls you to ask for your help in soliciting others. I urge your cooperation and your generosity.

T. Wallace: On the registration process, we really do need to get to the committee suggestions for that process. Joanne Lantz has put together a small group to review registration. They really need specifics. The overwhelming comment that I have received from students and faculty has been that it is a greatly improved process. I don't think we expected the first year to get it letter-perfect. The speakers have brought to my attention--on a couple of occasions--the need for improvement. We'll follow those up.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer: T. Wallace:

On December 14, as you know, this group approved SD 87-21 (Proposed Amendments to the Constitution). Four days later, on the 18th, I met with President Beering on a number of matters, including briefing him on the changes in the Constitution and discussing those

with him. He indicated he wanted to discuss the matter with President Ehrlich and, from reports that we have gotten back from IU deans, it appears that IU has been contacted. On January 14, President Beering called and reminded me that in 1981 the Purdue University Board of Trustees, in reviewing the Constitution, did not approve section VI.A.4. which had to do with the powers of the Senate. He indicated that he would not take that to the Purdue board. I would like to point out that it is not that those powers are not extended to this faculty. I looked in the 1981 minutes of the Purdue board and found out that the reason they deleted that section was because it was thought that this faculty had those same powers and that they say "were better defined in section IV.B." . . . They also said that section VIII.A.1-3., which dealt with Purdue powers of the faculty, also not be taken to the Board for approval and the reason was that it would "create a redundant grievance procedure." The material covered in those sections was already available to the Fort Wayne faculty in the IU and Purdue official publications. So what President Beering has said is that he did not see the need to take those two sections which were not approved in 1981 to the board this time. We have discussed various other items of the Constitution and he said he would continue to discuss the matter with President Ehrlich. I think we can be certain that those two sections will not appear in what goes to the Purdue board.

When I came here, if you recall, I circulated to you the Constitution and pointed out on a number of occasions that a couple of those sections had not been approved, that it was not the intention for the faculty not to have those powers, but that for whatever reason the 1981 board felt that those powers were given in other places and should not appear in our document.

D. Onwood: Is there any hope that this year at least the actions of the Purdue University Board of Trustees will be transmitted to the Senate in writing so that we know for sure what happens?

T. Wallace: I assume so. I'll make every effort to make sure that occurs. . . If it is not in writing, I will specify in writing what I understand the reaction to be and get that approved. I will certainly do all I can to get that in writing. I would expect that it will be forthcoming.

S. Hollander: This body has repeatedly differed with the interpretation you just gave of what happened in 1981 and what that means. Is there a procedure by which a representative of this faculty--and I imagine the Speaker of the Purdue University Faculty would be the appropriate person--might appear before the board with a full text of the Constitution as this faculty wishes it to be, and be given speaking privileges?

T. Wallace: I think that if it is the feeling of this group that this representative body should engage in that kind of discussion, I think you ought to sit down with President Beering and make a presentation to him so that he will understand the rationale that we have and a sense of history. It might be a simpler process to get his agreement on something and then take it to the Purdue board for approval. . . . I would be happy to accompany the group if you so desire.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 87-23) - S. Manheimer:

S. Manheimer moved to approve SD 87-23 (Revisions to Senate Document SD 86-22: Contingency Planning Policy and Procedures). Seconded.

A. Finco moved to amend SD 87-23, sections 2.a and 2.b by changing the word "or" to "and" in the last sentence of each paragraph. Seconded.

Motion failed on a show of hands.

M. Rosenfeld asked for an editorial change: that the word "chairman" be changed to "chair."

The motion to approve SD 87-23 passed on a voice vote.

7. New business:

a. Agenda Committee (SD 87-24) - A. Finco:

A. Finco moved to approve SD 87-24 (Twenty-Fifth Anniversary of IPFW). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

b. Senate Document SD 87-25 - J. Owen:

J. Owen moved to approve SD 87-25 (Membership eligibility resolution). Seconded.

SD 87-25:

Whereas, Section I.B. of the proposal to revise the Constitution of the Faculty of Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne would, upon implementation, disenfranchise select members of the IPFW faculty;

Therefore be it resolved, that the Indiana University-Purdue University faculty state that no currently eligible faculty member would be disenfranchised from Senate eligibility or voting privileges by the Constitutional provisions of Fort Wayne Senate Document SD 87-21.

D. Onwood moved to substitute the following resolution:

Whereas, it was not the intent of the Senate, in recommending amendments to the Constitution of the Faculty, to disenfranchise any group or groups of Faculty, but rather to establish operational criteria by which to determine which Faculty groups might enjoy representation within Faculty governance at Fort Wayne; and

Whereas, those criteria are consistent with generally accepted principles of representative governance, according to which the rights of governance carry certain responsibilities, including the responsibility to submit to governance;

Be it resolved, that all departments and academic units at Fort Wayne be invited to ensure that they are sufficiently free of responsibilities to other governance structures, that they may enjoy the privileges and responsibilities of participation in faculty governance at Fort Wayne.

Seconded.

Motion to substitute passed on a show of hands.

The substitute resolution passed on a voice vote.

c. Senate Document SD 87-22 - A. Finco:

W. Frederick moved that SD 87-22 (Adoption of Official School Colors) be taken from the table. Seconded.

Motion to take SD 87-22 from the table passed on a show of hands.

F. Codispoti moved to amend SD 87-22 by adding the following: Whereas, an all-campus referendum has endorsed the choice of blue and white as IPFW's official colors. Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a voice vote.

D. Schmidt moved to amend SD 87-22 by inserting the word "athletic" after the word official." Seconded.

S. Hollander moved to adjourn. Seconded.

The chair ruled that the motion to adjourn was out of order.

D. McCants appealed the decision of the chair.

The decision of the chair was overruled.

Motion to adjourn failed on a show of hands.

D. Schmidt's motion to amend failed on a voice vote.

D. Onwood moved to postpone consideration of SD 87-22 until formal advice can be received from Students Government. Seconded.

Motion to postpone failed on a voice vote.

A. Finco moved the previous question. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve SD 87-22, as amended passed on a show of hands.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

a. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Reference No. 87-10) - S. Harroff:

S. Harroff introduced SR No. 87-10 (Report of Work in Progress by the Faculty Affairs Committee).

b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 87-12) - A. Finco:

A. Finco introduced SR No. 87-12 (Documents under Deliberation in Senate Committees and Subcommittees).

9. The general good and welfare of the University

T. Wallace: The M.B.A. has been approved.

We have a Commencement Committee, as you know--Judith Clinton, Van Coufoudakis, and Bill Frederick are on it. We have gotten approval for some substantial changes. For example, deans and directors will be on stage and will present diplomas. For anyone who wants to see the proposal, I would be happy to share it with them. I am rushing only because we're close to 1:15.

Enrollment is up by 4.4 student credit hours. It is the first spring semester we have had over 10,000 students. I want to thank everyone who worked very hard at doing a number of different things to take care of students.

We will have the computer science curriculum changes at the Purdue University Board of Trustees' meeting this month. You heard about those last month. At IU we'll have the M.S. in liberal studies proposal at the APPC. There's no problem there. It will go to the

board this month. The M.A./M.S. in professional communication studies is at the Graduate Council at Purdue. It has the departmental blessing down there. We think that should go through without a great deal of roadblocking.

The management agreement meetings with the presidents have been rescheduled for February 2. Same agenda as before. Reminders will be out in the mail today.

F. Codispoti: The referendum on the amendments to the Constitution has been completed. The yes votes were 156 and no votes were 16.

S. Hollander: In committees and in this body we have heard a lot about the financial exigencies document and that it was being amended primarily to make it possible for the chancellor to support it. I was wondering if the presiding officer might ask the chancellor to comment on whether he is able to support the document as it has been passed by the Senate?

T. Wallace: I am able to endorse it. We had a very good discussion on some problems we saw on both sides. . . . I think we had a good discussion. We came to the document you see before you--one that I felt I could support, one I will support. The chancellor likes to be involved at the time things are being put together rather than after the fact, as many faculty do also. I appreciate the opportunity to do it.

P. Iadicola: I am concerned about the strategic-planning process in that it appears to be somewhat insulated from faculty approval or faculty response. I have seen the Arts and Sciences strategic plan and it seems to me there are some real problems. There are resources within that school that are being overlooked as well as student interest in terms of student enrollments, student majors, etc. I wonder whether the Senate should play a role in the sense of maybe reviewing the strategic-planning process and going back to the faculty within each school and division to see if they are satisfied with the current nature--

T. Wallace: That meeting will take place. Let me tell you where we are. The deans and the writers are in the preparation of putting together the first draft. What we are trying to do is get down to a report which would be about fifty pages long and, therefore, we could do a polished report. That first draft, I hope, will be done at the end of next month. It can go to any group on campus that wants to review it, including the Senate. I am trying to encourage the directors to develop more specific school and division plans that they can share with the faculty. So we are just putting together the first draft. . . . On the same token we are trying to implement in the budget process those things that can be implemented. Deans and directors are taking some of this stuff and putting it in their budget request. We're not saying, "Well, we're not going to touch that right now because the document hasn't been approved." . . .

A. Finco moved to adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 1:20 p.m.



Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt, Secretary