

Minutes of the
Fifth Regular Meeting of the Sixth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
January 19, 1987
Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of December 8 and 15, 1986
3. Acceptance of the agenda - M. Downs
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University - S. Hollander
 - b. Purdue University - D. McCants
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 86-9) - D. McCants
 - b. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 86-7) - S. Hollander
7. New business
 - a. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 86-10)
8. Committee reports "for information only"
 - a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 86-8, Documents under Deliberation in Senate Committees and Subcommittees)
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

J. Carnaghi, J. Chandler, J. Clausen, F. Codispoti, L. DeFonso, A. Dirkes, M. Downs, A. Finco, W. Frederick, A. Friedel, S. Harroff, J. Hersberger, S. Hockemeyer, S. Hollander, A. Karna, F. Kirchhoff, M. Kubik, S. Manheimer, D. Mauritzen, E. Nicholson, D. Oberstar, D. Onwood, R. Otten, R. Ramsey, D. Ross, D. Schmidt, J. Silver, J. Smulkstys, E. Snyder, K. Stevenson, J. Sunderman, M. Temte, S. Usman, K. Wakley, T. Wallace, D. Wartzok, J. Wilson, W. Worthley

Senate Members Absent:

G. Bell, J. Bell, R. Detraz, P. Flynn, H. Garcia, L. Graham, M. Hayden, R. Hess, R. Kovara, M. Laudeman, C. Maile, D. McCants, J. Outland, J. Owen, K. Perry, M. Rosenfeld, S. Sayegh, J. Ulmer, J. Violette, P. Zonakis

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, G. Bullion, V. Coufoudakis, J. Lantz, R. Svoboda

Attachments:

["Election of replacement member of the Purdue University Faculty Grievance Board"](#) (SD 86-9)

"Results of Faculty Board of Review Election" (SR No. 86-9)

["Election of replacement member of the Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs"](#) (SD 86-10)

Visitors Present: J. Clinton, J. Dahl, E. Franklin, M. Hile

Acta

1. Call to order: T. Wallace called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of December 8 and 15, 1986: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda: M. Downs moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.

The agenda was accepted as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

Neither of the speakers of the faculties had a report.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

I am very pleased to report our enrollment to you. We are 3.1% above what we budgeted for, which is 1% above last spring. I am told this is the first increase we have had for a number of years. We need to thank a lot of people for this very favorable picture: I'd like to say, before I go any further, that this occurred through a lot of hard work. Joanne Lantz led the charge in reviewing the closed-section list and working with the deans, directors, and chairs. The deans, directors, and chairs did a lot of work which resulted in our increasing class sizes in 51 classes by letting people in who might not have gotten in. They also created 22 new sections of courses. We also overcame an impasse of not having any classrooms available at 6:00 p.m.: we commandeered some spaces and converted them into classrooms. Joanne will continue to work on this opportunity for enrollments for the fall.

Our increase in enrollments this spring is four times the decline for the fall, which means that we're above budget. . . . Weekend College was up 5%, and we offered 14 additional courses this year. I am very pleased with this, and want to thank a lot of people who put in a lot of extra effort. We have also done some advertising as you may have heard, and we are collecting reactions to that. We have just begun our advertising, so we can't claim that that has had any great impact yet. The advertising program that

you have seen in the newspapers and heard about on the radio will continue until August.

I would like to bring you up to date on the budget process. As you may know, the Commission recommendation for Fort Wayne was rather good--about 1.1 million new dollars, which would have made an increase of approximately 12% in our budget. This included about 3.5% for salary increments. The legislative budget committee has not given us such a very good picture: only 2% increase for salary increases, 2% in supplies and expenses, and, after you deduct the amount for a decline in enrollment that I previously told you about, that would leave only about \$344,000 of new money. That is not a very favorable budget. We hope something happens in the political process to improve the picture.

In the meanwhile, we have had an internal process going on. You recall that I mentioned that I circulated a statement of priorities called "Pre-budget Planning Discussions" to the various administrative officers and also to the Senate Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee. I had a meeting with the Senate subcommittee regarding that document, and we talked a little bit about priorities. We have finished phase one of our process. We asked each of the deans and directors to look at the positions becoming vacant next fall, and to talk about the high priority in their divisions for those positions. This phase has just been completed. We have taken a very hard position by saying that the first priority is to stimulate new enrollments by pushing those positions, where necessary, into programs that we think will result in increased numbers of majors. Let me quickly point out that some of those positions may not necessarily be reallocated in order to support healthy or potentially healthy enrollment in service-course work. Our intent is to push unfilled positions into areas where we think we can generate new majors--which may mean that we will have to say to some students that their service-course choice of that department may be pushed to another course other than what they really wanted. An example would be in mass media. We have potential for increasing majors. We also felt that in audiology and speech sciences we should expand that program to a four-year program to accommodate our majors. We have just finished the first stage. This is all being done without any new money.

Phase II of our program is to take the high-priority programs and activities in each of the divisions and schools, put them on a piece of paper, and begin to do something for those priorities with new money should we get new money. The vice chancellor for financial affairs and his group are entering the reallocation stage. When we finish that, we will merge priorities from the three major budget groups--mine and the two vice chancellors'--into one priority set that we feel is important for the total institution. We will continue discussion with the Senate budget committee on how this is going. I hope that by February 15, when we have to report our budget to President Beering, we will have completed these various phases to direct dollars in a way that we feel will have the greatest impact. . . .

I am happy to report that the Purdue University Board of Trustees will meet in Fort Wayne on March 26 and 27. President Beering would like to present the faculty forum

at that time. As you may or may not be aware, the Agenda Committee indicated that they would like to have President Beering for the convocation last fall, but was unable to get him. . . . He will also, I hope, meet with our Community Advisory Council. . . .

I want to express congratulations to the athletic department on their fine performance this weekend. The men's volleyball team beat Ohio State to win the Fort Wayne National Bank Classic. . . .

M. Downs: Do we have any reading from the state legislative delegation as to their feelings about special projects or priorities concerning this campus?

J. Carnaghi: Saturday, the Chamber [of Commerce] began its regular meetings, which go throughout the session. We meet in a number of subgroups and come together for about an hour and a half with the local legislators. . . . Nothing substantial has taken place yet. Phyllis Pond said very eloquently that they intend to deliver the fine arts building to IPFW.

M. Downs: So it is a top priority with them? Are you aware of any priority that is higher?

J. Carnaghi: It is a top priority with them. Something was mentioned about an armory also. I think, more importantly, as we've heard before, the Commission for Higher Education did rank our building second. . . . The state budget committee said there are no capital priorities for the state for higher education. So we go from one extreme of being second to the other extreme of, if there's no money, the building won't be funded. This is where we stand as things begin to move. But there is great optimism that if there are funds for capital, we will get our building.

Wallace: I get the feeling everyone is waiting to see what is going to happen with tax increases.

Carnaghi: One legislator did say he felt there was no way they would redo the sales-tax-structure formula. He then said it may go from 5% to 6%. There is a lot of jockeying going on. Another legislator said he felt if we didn't change the formula this session, we'd have a disaster next time around.

A. Finco: That legislator doesn't see going from 5% to 6% as a change in formula.

J. Carnaghi: By a change in formula, I mean taxing services. .

J. Lantz: One comment on your opening remarks: I would like to add that Jack Dahl worked very hard on the classes for this semester.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (SD 86-9) - D. McCants:

A. Finco moved to approve SD 86-9 (Election of replacement member of the Purdue University Faculty Grievance Board). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

b. Indiana University Committee on institutional Affairs (SR No. 86-7) - S.
Hollander.

The IU CIA conducted the election of the Indiana University Faculty Board of Review. (See Senate Reference No. 86-9)

7. New business:

S. Hollander moved that John Bell be approved to fill the vacancy on the Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote. (See SD 86-10)

8. Committee reports "for information only":

M. Downs presented Senate Reference No. 86-8 (Documents under Deliberation in Senate Committees and Subcommittees) to the Senate for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

D. Schmidt: At a meeting of the Subcommittee on Athletics last week, you indicated that Purdue University had had meetings with administrators from this campus on academic regulations. Can you share any information on this topic?

E. Nicholson: Academic regulations were to have been taken up today at the Educational Policy Committee meeting, but I don't think we are going to meet.

M. Downs: We are not meeting today because the campus is closing at 1:00 p.m., but next Monday we will be meeting and will be reviewing the results of the negotiations.

E. Nicholson: Was there one in particular, Don, that you wanted commented on?

D. Schmidt: Yes, the regulation on academic good standing.

E. Nicholson: It has to be renegotiated.

T. Wallace: How did you feel about what came back, Michael, on a scale of 1-5?

M. Downs: 3.7. This reflects my own strong desire that we have a single set of academic regulations on this campus. I am sure that when we come back, after they've

been reviewed, people will be struck by the number of times that, when we do compromise, it will be in the direction of the campus which is most strict; that is inevitable. But the basis upon which we are going to be operating is that we have a document which, for the most part, will suit us very well. We may have to do some elaborate or complicated things to accommodate the two systems. The factor that has animated and stimulated me from the beginning of this process is the opportunity to have unique, Fort Wayne academic regulations. It may have, in some instances, a West Lafayette flavor, or maybe a soupcon of Bloomington added for piquancy, but it will be ours, and it will be something that we cooked--although we did get some added tidbits, when we weren't looking, from people from one place or another in the stew that we wouldn't have put in. But it will still be our stew. I think we can make it work.

T. Wallace: Any other comments or questions?

M. Downs: There are, as there always are, a number of rumors about the process by which the vacancies in the different divisions have been discussed and resources have been allocated. My question is to the ultimate possibility of filling vacancies in a small department, for instance mine, which is a matter of major importance. We have been looking to fill the position since August. It is not a position, I am told, which is to be filled, despite the fact that, in our opinion, it is the most important vacancy on campus. It seems to me that if the business of the university is to be carried out with dispatch and efficiency, and we are going to be allowed to recruit the best people, we have to start early and hire early. This delay raises fears and apprehension that, if we do get to fill the vacancy, we may be working quite late. Is there a date which you can give us that we will know for sure about vacancies?

T. Wallace: I think that we are in an unusual year here. We have the bleak budget recommendation coming out of the legislative committee. I think how far we can go on vacancies has to be gauged relative to when that news improves. We won't have final news on that until April. I think we are not in a position to authorize hiring until we get a better feeling for what is going to come out of the legislature. I understand your problem.

M. Downs: I would like to add that I don't think, by itself, that this is an extraordinarily bleak budget year. What makes it bleak, of course, is that we are undertaking, under your leadership, a reallocation of positions at the same time we face a bleak legislative budget year, and that makes this situation bleaker.

T. Wallace: I'll see what we can do. I understand the problem.

10. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 12:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty