

Minutes of the  
Fourth Regular Meeting of the Ninth Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne  
December 11, 1989  
Noon, Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of November 13, 1989
3. Acceptance of the agenda - J. Haw
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Indiana University - M. Downs
  - b. Purdue University - K. Stevenson
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
  - Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 89-5) - M. Downs
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
  - a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 89-6) - F. Kirchhoff
  - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 89-7) - F. Kirchhoff
  - c. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 89-8) - J. Haw
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, M. Auburn, A. Bassett, J. Bell, F. Borelli, H. Broberg, G. Bullion, B. Bulmahn, J. Carnaghi, D. Cox, V. Craig, M. Downs, E. Foley, W. Frederick, A. Friedel, H. Garcia, J. Haw, R. Hawley, S. Hollander, K. Keller, F. Kirchhoff, J. Lantz, A. Mahmoud, J. Manzer, E. Messal, J. Meyers, R. Miers, D. Monteith, R. Novak, D. Oberstar, D. Onwood, J. Owen, S. Sarratore, D. Schmidt, S. Skekloff, K. Squadrito, K. Stevenson, J. Sunderman, D. Swinehart, J. Switzer, W. Unsell, K. Wakley

Senate Members Absent:

M. Calkowski, J. Eichenauer, D. Hockensmith, B. Lingaraj, A. Pugh, M. Richeson, A. Shupe, E. Snyder, G. Szymanski, G. Ulmschneider

Presiding Officer: M. Mansfield

Parliamentarian: R. Pippert

Sergeant-at-Arms: R. Barrett

Representative from Medical Education: D. Bell

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, V. Coufoudakis, D. McCants

Attachment:

"Results of the 1990-1991 Faculty Board of Review Election" (SR No. 89-9)

Acta

1. Call to order: M. Mansfield called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of November 13, 1989: The minutes were approved as written.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

J. Haw moved acceptance of the agenda as distributed. Seconded.

The agenda was accepted as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
  - a. Indiana University: M. Downs had no report.
  - b. Purdue University:

K. Stevenson: The issue of the Purdue University regional campus faculty representation and input into administrative decisions with respect to regional campuses has been much debated lately, particularly in Lafayette. Last spring a proposal to reorganize the Purdue University Senate and set up an intercampus council with representatives from all campuses based on the number of faculty on each campus was defeated in the Purdue University Senate, which precluded our need to ratify such a plan. In August, several members of the Purdue University Board of Trustees met with our Senate officers to discuss informally this question of representation. This topic has now been taken up by the Intercampus Faculty Council on which Don Schmidt and I serve. The minutes of the November 15 meeting of this council reflect the discussion that occurred, but they really reflect the personal views of the representatives from the regional campuses, and I would like to read that part of the minutes which summarizes the views of the regional campus representatives: "First of all there was a clear consensus of those present that it is important to keep the Intercampus Faculty Council viable. No council member supports the notion of disbanding the council even if the regional campuses receive seats on the University Senate. It is important that issues that have system-wide concern be clearly identified as such, particularly as they move through the legislative pipeline: Only in this way can all campuses be kept informed in a timely way and thus have the option of significant input before the legislation is approved." I might add, parenthetically, that it is felt that our campus calendar was

a thing that's been considered by all campuses. "On the question of regional campus representation on the University Senate, the Council's reaction was mixed. Some felt that such representation was undesirable. Some felt it would be important to have a seat on the Senate with or without the vote. Consideration should be given to the possibility of giving the Intercampus Faculty Council limited authority in intercampus conflict resolution. It was noted that, according to section C of the code, at present this council can only make suggestions for resolving intercampus disagreement. Finally, the representatives from the regional campuses emphasized that the University Senate should not be considered a system-wide Senate even if members from Fort Wayne and Calumet were invited to participate as whole voting members in its deliberations." This topic will continue to be discussed at future meetings and I would appreciate hearing your comments or suggestions regarding our representation in the regional campus superstructure, and so that I may better express the views of my Purdue constituency on this campus.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

M. Mansfield had no report.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs Senate Reference No. 89-5)  
- M. Downs:

M. Downs conducted the election of the Indiana University Faculty Board of Review. The results are attached as Senate Reference No. 89-9.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 89-6) - F. Kirchhoff:

F. Kirchhoff presented SR No. 89-6 (Update on IPFW Admissions Policy) for information only.

b. Educational Policy Committee Senate Reference No. 89-7) - F. Kirchhoff:

F. Kirchhoff presented SR No. 89-7 (Interdisciplinary General Education Initiative) for information only.

c. Agenda Committee Senate Reference No. 89-8) - J. Haw:

J. Haw presented SR No. 89-8 (Recommendation from the Steering Committee for the Implementation of the Smoking Policies) for information only.

9. General good and welfare of the University:

J. Lantz: I would like to report to this group that on Friday Dr. Stevenson and Dr. McCants represented this campus at the Higher Education Commission meeting and the Commission approved the A.A.S. in Chemical Methods.

K. Squadrito: Can anyone tell us what is going on on the McKay Farm? ... Is it a legitimate question, John [Carnaghi]?

J. Carnaghi: Certainly it is a legitimate question. I prefer we not talk about it. We have a problem on the McKay Farm. We are being overrun with deer. We have had numerous complaints from land owners in the residential areas. The deer are eating the shrubs, trees, and other plants. The problem is going to get worse because this past year--because of the building in the woodlands which is bordered by Paper Mill Bluffs--the farming equipment that used to be brought in to farm the property couldn't be brought in. We had no farming. We couldn't give the land away to be farmed because they couldn't get the equipment in. Consequently, there is no food. There has always been corn and beans in the past. The problem will get worse; the deer will move out to the outer edges and they will be, I am sure, moving to other places. The problem is being worked on with the advice of the Wildlife Department of the Department of Natural Resources and the governor's office. As of last week, the solution was going to be the educational process. I asked if that was educating the deer. They said no, "We're going to educate the landowners on how they might treat their shrubs and things like that to keep the deer from eating them." I am supposed to hear this week from some more people from the Wildlife Department on this educational training we are going to be offering to the landowners. It is a problem that I don't think is going to go away. Be very careful if you drive on California Road.

K. Squadrito: No deer have been shot yet?

J. Carnaghi: Not by members of the university.... I would also point out that deer do not belong to the university. They belong to the state, but they are using our land, unfortunately. I would like them to go someplace else. It is a tough problem. The Wildlife Department will not move them. We have talked about trapping them and moving them. They would spend a week of their resources. If they caught three to move to Indianapolis, two would die from the trauma, and they would move one. They can't afford to. They are going to help us deal with our problem....

K. Squadrito: Is the problem the cost?

J. Carnaghi: I think they are saying they don't even need them in the state parks. There are just so many deer. It used to be they allowed hunters to take one in the counties north of us; now they are allowing the hunters to take two. They are giving out lots of permits.

D. Schmidt: I spoke with the conservation officer about various solutions. Relocation is not a possible solution. One, because no one wants them. You're just taking your problem in Fort Wayne and dumping it into some other county.

K. Squadrito: There are people who will take some deer. I have a friend who will take three to five.

Anonymous: Tell them to come out and take them.

K. Squadrito: It is a man next door who doesn't know how to get them. That's why I'm asking.

J. Carnaghi: There is a fellow named Trapper Jack whom I was told about by the governor's office. He is very expensive. They were going to send me the name and number. I would be glad to pass it on.

J. Meyers: In Europe people eat venison a whole lot. This would be a delicacy. That's the way they control the herds there.

J. Carnaghi: That is a solution we are considering. It obviously has a lot of political ramifications. Frankly, my concern is that whatever we need to take out today, if we do nothing for six months, double that and that's what we'll need to move. I don't know that this educational process of how to keep them from eating plants is going to solve our problem.

J. Lantz: The problem that Senator Meyers might not be aware of is that in fact you can hunt in the state, but you cannot hunt with a gun inside the city limits, and that it is also privately owned property. So no one has hunted that property even though there are hunters all over the state of Indiana.

G. Bullion: John, what is the possibility of a 25- or 30-foot fence around the property?

J. Carnaghi: The Natural Wildlife people said that it would take an electrically charged fence at least 10-feet high to keep them in. An electrically charged fence with children in the area wouldn't work too well.

S. Hollander: While we are on the McKay property, are there groups or individuals on campus charged with developing the facilities and land-use plan that we are supposed to be developing?

J. Lantz: Yes, there is a preliminary proposal and we will be acting on it. We had hoped to get ideas and a group formed by this time. The problem is that with the redesign of the fine arts building and the work we have been trying to put together on the widening of U.S. 37 and the other things-we have going, there has not been time to implement that. We hope to get on top of it early in the second semester. It is high on the agenda. We had emergencies that we needed to deal with immediately.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt  
Secretary of the Faculty