

Minutes of the
Fourth Regular Meeting of the Third Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
December 12, 1983

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of November 14 and 21, 1983
3. Acceptance of the agenda
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University - M. Dawns
 - b. Purdue University - J. Lantz
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 83-7) - J. Haw
 - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 83-8) - J. Haw
7. New business
8. The general good and welfare of the University
9. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

R. Barrett, D. Bialik, K. Bordens, D. Bowers, J. Brennan, W. Bruening, J. Bundschuh, D. Cannon, J. Carnaghi, F. Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, M. Crill, M. Downs, R. Emery, N. Fincher, W. Frederick, J. Giusti, E. Goebel, T. Guthrie, J. Haw, L. Hess, S. Hollander, W. Kolb, G. Leddick, J. Lichti, M. Lipnan, G. McCullough, M. Miller, E. Nicholson, M. Nusbaumer, J. Owen, R. Pippert, M. Richeson, S. Rickert, J. Rodriguez, W. Schlacks, S. Slack, J. Smulkstys, J. Stauffer, D. Switzer, J. Ulmer, R. Wall, W. Worthley

Senate Members Absent:

S. Beering, L. Fox, E. Haglund, J. Heine, J. Lantz, E. Leonard, D. McAleece, J. Moore, J. Ryan, C. Steeg, J. Sunderman, J. Violette, P. Zonakis

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.) Visitors Present:

J. Clinton, J. Dahl, T. Harris, N. Newell, M. O'Hear

Attachments:

"EDUC X150 Requirement" (Senate Document SD 83-7)

"Scholastic Honor Societies" (Senate Document SD 83-8)

Acta

1. Call to order: J. Giusti called the meeting to order at noon.
2. Approval of the minutes of November 14 and 21, 1983: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:
V. Coufoudakis moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.
The agenda was accepted as distributed.
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
 - a. M. Downs announced that a committee of ten has been appointed by President Ryan to evaluate the chancellor. He indicated that three of the ten members of the committee are IPFW faculty, and that there currently are negotiations taking place between President Ryan and the Indiana University Faculty Council concerning the composition of the committee. He added that the legislation establishing these committees requires that a majority of the committee representation be faculty from the relevant campus, which is not currently the case. A tentative deadline of February 21 has been established for submission of the committee's report. It is the responsibility of President Ryan and the University Faculty Council secretary to call the first meeting of the committee.

In response to a question by J. Rodriguez, M. Downs said the committee members are Tom Harris (student), Janet Iden (community), Blix Fredrick (student), Professor Henry Besch (IUPUI), Professor James Crowe (IUB), Professor David McCants (Purdue), Professor James Owen (Indiana), Professor Michael Downs (Indiana), Walter Helmke (community), and Dr. Carl Bickley (administration).
 - b. J. Lantz was not present.
5. Report of the Presiding Officer:
J. Giusti:
 - a. **Legislative Breakfast (Purdue).** . . . President Beering outlined what he called the assets and the liabilities of Purdue University, and how the assets were contributing to the economic development of the state of Indiana and the nation. He went on to tell the legislators that the first priority this year for the budget session will be compensation, and that it is his hope that compensation will be at least two percent above inflation--that means 6 to 7 percent. As you know, right now the legislature is

providing for 3 1/2 percent. He went on to say that . . . if all of the public state institutions were to be based on two percent above inflation, it would cost the state \$10 million, which, relatively speaking, is not an exorbitant amount of money when you look at the total budget for all of the state operating concerns. . . . Our first priority at this campus will be compensation. . . .

- b. **Academic Appeals.** On December 5 I transmitted the Academic Appeals Policy to the president [Ryan]. I noted in my transmittal letter that it will be implemented in the spring semester, 1984. I also called to his attention the discrepancies between the IPFW policy approved by the president, and the IU system-wide policy approved by the Trustees. I explained that the Senate felt that these discrepancies were far less serious than the much larger discrepancies that exist on the campus due to the lack of a single academic appeals policy. . . .
- c. **Master Academic Plan.** . . . This week I will be sending out a notice of the appointment of the Steering Committee, which, as you know, is comprised of the chairs of the standing committees of the Senate and the vice chancellors.
- d. **Meetings.** This past week we had a number of overlapping meetings in Indianapolis. . . . The Indiana University Board of Trustees was meeting and the Commission for Higher Education was meeting to hear all of the academic service plans of all of the universities in the state, and the National Forum on Education was taking place. . . Two things were approved at the IU Board of Trustees' meeting that affect our campus: (1) They approved the conveyance of a piece of land where we exit the campus on Broyles Drive near Canterbury Green. The state is going to widen . . . that road up to Evard Road this year. . . . (2) They also approved the agreement enabling us to lease the Continuing Education facility on Barr Street. . . . At the ICHE hearings the universities submitted their plans which indicated compensation as their number 1 priority.

In conjunction with the National Forum on Education, J. Giusti indicated that copies of the remarks made at the session by Secretary Bell, John Gardner, Dan Quayle, and Richard Lugar will be on file in the library and in the Senate secretary's office.

- e. **Stadium.** The stadium project is still where it stood just about a month ago. The 60-day period will be up January 8. Between now and January 8 the Indiana-Purdue Foundation said it would meet and consider the proposal submitted by the Commissioners. As of last week, the Commissioners sent a formal proposal asking for use of the land to construct a stadium. The Commissioners said they would ask for the use of the land, not a sublease of the land or a return of the land. [They said] if we would grant them the use of the land, they would then propose to build a multipurpose stadium compatible with the aesthetics and architecture of this campus; that it would be used for baseball, tennis, soccer, ceremonies, etc.; that we would have the primary use of the stadium; that the first right of people to operate the stadium during the summer would be that given to students at IPFW hired on for the summer term; that the county would maintain the stadium; that they would develop a park and recreation spot along the river and around the stadium; that they would look to the traffic flow to California

Road so the traffic would not be concentrated on Coliseum Boulevard; and that in a specified number of years it would all revert to the university, debt-free and in A-1 maintenance condition. These are the proposals they have presented. This is what the IP Foundation will take a look at. Certainly from the proposal, it sounds very good to a lot of us. We don't know that they will have the 4 to 5 million dollars to develop the stadium, but that is not here nor there at the present time. It remains to be seen what the foundation will do in letting them use the land. . . .

J. Bundschuh: Did you say you attended the National Forum on Excellence in Education?

J. Giusti: Parts of it. It started Tuesday evening. We had an all-morning session with the IU Board of Trustees, and then I had to attend both the IU and the Purdue hearings before the Indiana Commission for Higher Education, and also the introduction and acceptance of the new commissioner for higher education for the state of Indiana. So I attended as best I could.

J. Bundschuh: Were there any other representatives from IPFW at the Forum?

J. Giusti: I don't think so. It was by invitation only. From what you have read in the Fort Wayne papers about the President's remarks or his speech, from my own assessment, and from several other people who were there with whom I talked after his remarks, I would have slanted the article completely different from that in the newspaper. The amount of applause he received during his speech and the standing ovation he received were entirely different. I was surprised to have read in the newspapers about the remarks he had made.

M. Downs: You mentioned that the appointments to the steering committee which is going to be concerned with long-range academic planning were being sent. It is still part of the responsibility of this committee to be engaged in long-range physical planning as well, is it not?

J. Giusti: That is correct. In the words of President Beering, the academic plan will drive the physical plan. Prior to bringing this to an earlier Senate meeting, I did send a memo to the presidents advising them that we would be considering the academic master plan and at the same time alerting both presidents that they delegate someone from Bloomington and West Lafayette to serve on the committee, and to work along with us in developing this master plan so that it would be done in conjunction with IU and with Purdue.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 83-7) - J. Haw:

W. Bruening moved to approve SD 83-7 (EDUC X150 Requirement). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

b. Educational Policy Ccmittee (Senate Document SD 83-8) - J. Haw:

W. Bruening moved to approve, SD 83-8 (Scholastic Honors Societies). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

7. New business: There was no new business.
8. The general good and welfare of the University:

M. Downs: There was a subject that came up at the last meeting of the Senate which I was constrained from speaking on because I was presiding officer, and I was so enjoying it that I did not want to yield the chair. I bring it up now because I feel that the Senate has spoken and has expressed the will of the faculty in regard to the subject, and what I am interested in getting into the record here is a statement of my own feelings and a plea for avoidance of similar steps in the future in regard to legal holidays and holding registration and classes on legal holidays.

This is in regard to January 2. I haven't received very many complaints from faculty, but I have received extremely vituperative complaints, although politely expressed, from the staff. My considered opinion is that the inconvenience and unhappiness that we are causing by having registration on the second of January is in no way going to be compensated for by the number of people who are going to come and register. I may be wrong about that, and if I am it will be evident very quickly after the second of January. Our experience here is that . . . to hold classes and registration periods on legal holidays have been very unsuccessful. . . . When you have a large number of people who choose not to make use of that time to come out here to school to classes or registration, it doesn't really seem to me that the best interests of the university are served by holding classes or registration on those days. . . I understand from the last meeting that the amount of difficulty that we would have in turning the trains back on their tracks would be very great, and that's why I bring this up at a time when a motion cannot be made. I think we made a mistake, and I have spoken with Jack Dahl about it, and, although he is not on record as saying he made a mistake by scheduling a day of registration on that day, he has said that this is a long way from being the ideal world we would like to have, and that real efforts will be made in the future to avoid doing it the same way. I think that we have, in an important way, ignored very important considerations in regard to an important constituency on this campus, and I think that unless there is overwhelming evidence that it is necessary to do that, I hope that we will not do it again.

J. Giusti wished everyone a very happy holiday season.

9. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt, Secretary