

Minutes of the
Fourth Regular Meeting of the Twenty-Fourth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
December 13, 2004
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of November 8, 2004
3. Acceptance of the agenda – J. Grant
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Purdue University – E. Blakemore
 - b. Indiana University – B. Fife
5. Report of the Presiding Officer – G. Bullion
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 04-6) – B. Fife
 - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 04-6) – J. Tankel
 - c. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-7) – S. Isiorho
 - d. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 04-8) – J. Grant
 - e. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-9) – N. Younis
7. New business
8. Committee reports “for information only”
 - Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 04-7) – M. Codispoti
9. The general good and welfare of the University
 - Chancellor’s Remarks
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: G. Bullion
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: G. Steffen
Secretary: J. Petersen

Senate Members Present:

R. Bean, L. Beineke, E. Blakemore, W. Branson, M. Codispoti, S. Davis, P. Dragnev, C. Erickson, B. Fife, L. Fox, R. Friedman, J. Garrett, P. Goodman, J. Grant, T. Grove, S. Hannah, L. Hess, P. Iadicola, S. Isiorho, A. Karim, L. Lin, M. Lipman, L. Meyer, G. Moss, G. Mourad, A. Mustafa, E. Neal, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, A. Perez, D. Ross, G. Schmelzle, S. Tannous, J. Toole, S. Troy, L. Wark, M. Wartell, N. Younis

Senate Members Absent:

B. Abbott, S. Blythe, J. Brennan, D. Erbach, D. Goodman, L. Kuznar, Z. Liang, N. McFarland, M. Montesino, R. Murray, H. Samavati, J. Tankel, G. Voland

Attachments:

[“Results of the Election of the Indiana University Faculty Board of Review”](#) (SR 04-8)
[“Academic Calendar, 2004-2008”](#) (SD 04-6)

[“Priority Registration for Rostered Athletes”](#) (SD 04-7)

[“Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Honors Program Council”](#) (SD 04-8)

[“Revision of SD 94-17: Recognizing Teaching Excellence by Associate Faculty”](#) (SD 04-9)

[“IPFW Faculty Teaching Award: Recognizing Teaching Excellence by Associate Faculty”](#) (SD 94-17)

“Athletics Annual Report for 2004” (Attachment A)

Faculty Members Present: E. Blumenthal, J. Clausen, S. Sarratore

Visitors Present: L. Clark, J. Dahl, S. Florio, K. Hartley Hutton, C. Jackson, S. Jackson, K. Kilbane, (*News-Sentinel*) R. Kostrubanic, M. Pope, K. Woodard (*Journal Gazette*)

Acta

1. Call to order: G. Bullion called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of November 8, 2004: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

J. Grant moved to approve the agenda as distributed.

The agenda was approved as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

- a. Purdue University:

E. Blakemore:

- 1) We have been informed that the steward department at West Lafayette is gone. I think we are all very happy about that.
- 2) As you know, Frank Paladino, from the Biology Department, is attending the meetings of the faculty Senate at West Lafayette and is passing along to me issues that they are discussing there. His judgment is that most of the issues that he has passed along to me at this point are not particularly relevant to us, so I will not go into detail about them. At West Lafayette, though, they are interested in developing a faculty club, and I am wondering whether, at this campus, we might also be interested in doing something like that.
- 3) I would like to inform you about a listserv that Steve Carr, from the Communication Department, has developed on campus called Colloquy-L for people who can participate in discussions of topics relevant to campus life. If you would like to sign up for that listserv, I, as well as Steve Carr, would be happy to let you know how. Just drop him an e-mail and he could tell you how to sign up for it. It is interesting the kinds of things that are being discussed on it. Amongst the things that have been discussed recently are the university's policy on mass e-mails and whether that might be revisited. He has asked the Executive Committee to consider sending that back to the Academic Computing and Information Technology Advisory Subcommittee.

4) Another issue that has come up is the final exam policy.

b. Indiana University:

B. Fife: I would like to thank all colleagues, faculty, and administrative staff alike who attended the discussion focused on the Indiana University Mission Differentiation Project on November 12, 2004. I thought it was a healthy and fruitful discussion about the status and future of our campus. I am sure that discourse concerning our mission, autonomy issues, budgeting, and a host of other matters will continue.

Let me also report that leaders of the University Faculty Council have expressed their desire to President Herbert that all campus mission statements generated by the mission differentiation project have the support and formal approval of local campus faculty councils. Unless President Herbert changes his timeline, this appears unlikely.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer – G. Bullion:

At the Executive Committee's meeting we dealt with the issue of departments and schools scheduling meetings that occur in the same time period as the faculty Senate is scheduled to meet. It was the opinion of the Executive Committee to ask the chancellor to issue a statement of encouragement, at least to the departments and schools, to avoid conflicts of that type so that problems are not created with faculty members needing to be two places at the same time.

I would like to make a comment in reference to something that Professor Fife has just said. In the past month I think there have been several things underway that are encouraging and which offer an opportunity for this campus to engage in discussion that has been a long time in coming. I have sensed that, among the Indiana University faculty members who attended the session on November 12, they were very interested in the future of this campus and how it related to Indiana University; but, perhaps more importantly, how it related to the cause of higher education in general. I think, with this being our 40th year, there is a lot to celebrate, but there is also a time to not only look backwards but to look forwards. For someone who has had many years of experience here, I can share with you that every step of progress that we have made along the way, in hindsight it probably came much slower and much harder than it ever should have. Could that have been a function of the structure of higher education in the state? I think that is the question that ought to be debated. A report was issued the day prior to the November 12 meeting that is entitled "A Report of the Subcommittee on Higher Education" issued by the Indiana Governor's Efficiency Commission dated November 2004. I think reports like that provide a wonderful opportunity to help us embark upon discussion about who we are and what we want to be in the future.

Being economists, we think in terms of costs and benefits. I am not sure that that necessarily is the avenue that should be used for shaping the discussion, but I think we ought to examine the costs and the benefits of the current structure that we have and how that could be altered, tweaked, or changed to better serve the purposes of this community

and the community that we serve. So, with that having been said, I will have little more to say about it. I do not relish the idea of being quoted in the paper that I have made a call for independence for IPFW and all that goes with that. That might come at some point, but I

am firmly convinced it will not come on my watch and the event will not occur in my lifetime. Be that as it may, it is not something that we should pretend we can sweep under the rug and ignore. It does affect our future and what we aspire to be and how we can serve that.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs (SR No. 04-6) – B. Fife:

S. Isiorho distributed ballots for the election of the Faculty Board of Review. The ballots were delivered to the Secretary. The results are attached. (See SR No. 04-8)

b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 04-6) – J. Tankel:

M. Codispoti moved to approve SD 04-6 (Academic Calendar, 2007-2008). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-6 passed on a voice vote.

c. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-7) – S. Isiorho:

S. Isiorho moved to approve SD 04-7 (Priority Registration for Rostered Athletes). Seconded.

M. Nusbaumer moved to table SD 04-7 until the Student Affairs Committee can give guidelines to be approved by the Senate on how such exemptions should be handled. Seconded.

Motion to table SD 04-7 failed on a voice vote.

M. Lipman moved the previous question.

Motion to approve SD 04-7 passed on a voice vote.

d. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 04-8) – J. Grant:

J. Grant moved to approve SD 04-8 (Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Honors Program Council). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-8 passed on a voice vote.

e. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-9) – N. Younis:

N. Younis moved to approve SD 04-9 (Revision of SD 94-17: Recognizing Teaching Excellence by Associate Faculty). Seconded.

M. Nusbaumer moved a friendly amendment to SD 04-9 as follows (changes in bold and strikethrough):

The decision will be made by a committee consisting of the Voting Faculty members of the FAC and two ~~previous~~ **most recent, available** Associate Faculty Teaching Award recipients.

Motion to approve SD 04-9 passed on a voice vote.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports “for information only”:

Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 04-7): - M. Codispoti:

M. Codispoti presented Senate Reference No. 04-7 (Request for change of name in AREA OF CONCENTRATION in Fine Arts BFA Degree) for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

M. Nusbaumer: As per Senate Document SD 01-18, the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee owes the Senate a biennial report.

M. Wartell: I agreed to report to you on a couple of issues:

- 1) Please refer to the handout that the secretary of the Senate is having distributed. This regards the Special Merit Pay – “Bonus Policy.” In this memo you will find the percent of salary awarded in the three categories of staff: Faculty, Administrative/Professional/Continuing Lecturers, and Clerical/Service. If you have any questions, feel free to ask me. Vice Chancellor Hannah described the process of recommendation at the October 18 Senate meeting. This memo lays out totals for each of the three categories. Within reason (1/100th percent) we tried to make equal the percentage of salary for each of the categories. Actually, we have done that every year, with increments as well.
- 2) Attached to the minutes is the Athletics Report for 2004 which the Senate requested through URPC.

10. The meeting adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

Jacqueline J. Petersen
Secretary of the Faculty