

Minutes of the
Sixth Regular Meeting of the Eleventh Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
February 10, 1992
Noon, Kettler G46

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of January 13, 1992
3. Acceptance of the agenda - W. Unsell
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Purdue University - A. Fmco
 - b. Indiana University - M. Downs
5. Report of the Presiding Officer (SR No. 91-17) - W. Frederick
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 91-11) - D. Oberstar
 - b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 91-12) - S. Sarratore
 - c. Educational Policy Committee (SD 91-13) (for discussion) - S. Sarratore
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
 - a. Curriculum Review Subcommittee (SR No. 91-18) - A. Dirkes
 - b. Nominations and Elections Committee (SR No. 91-19) - P. Conn
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: W. Frederick
Parliamentarian: S. Harroff
Sergeant-at-arms: R. Barrett

Senate Members Present:

F. Borelli, E. Blumenthal, J. Brennan, J. Chandler, J. Clausen, P. Conn, A. Dirkes, M. Downs, J. Dunlap, J. Eichenauer, A. Finco, E. Foley, J. Haw, R. Hawley, S. Hollander, R. Jeske, A. Karim, N. Kelley, F. Kirchhoff, J. Lantz, D. Legg, M. Mansfield, D. McCants, L. Meyer, J. Meyers, R. Miers, D. Oberstar, J. Owen, R. Pacer, A. Pugh, R. Ramsey, R. Ritchie, S. Sarratore, J. Scherz, A. Shupe, J. Silver, S. Skekloff, J. Smulkstys, J. Sunderman, W. Unsell, W. Walker, E. Waters

Senate Members Absent:

A. Chatterjea, D. Cox, S. Dhawale, J. Klotz, D. Kruse, P. Lin, D. Linn, A. Rassuli, J. Switzer

Representative from Medical Education: D. Bell

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, V. Coufoudakis

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, N. Newell

Attachments

"[Change in Title of SD 91-6 \[Mission Statement\]](#)" (SD 91-12)

"Indiana University-Purdue University Statement of Purpose: An Elaboration of the University Mission Statement" (SD 91-6)

"Report by the Nominations and Elections Committee: Election Results for Speaker of the Indiana University Faculty, Presiding Officer of the Faculty, and the Management Agreement Committee" (SR. No. 91-19)

Acta

1. Call to order: W. Frederick called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of January 13, 1992: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

W. Unsell moved to accept the agenda as distributed. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
 - a. Purdue University: A. Finco had no report.
 - b. Indiana University:

M. Downs: I have two items to report. One is the creation of a committee to bring some regularity to the process by which we nominate people for honorary degrees from this campus. What can best be described as an early screening committee--composed of a representative to the University Faculty Council, a representative to the Intercampus Faculty Council, the two Speakers, and Judy Clinton, who is assistant to the Chancellor--will solicit and prepare cases for honorary degrees. The process in the past has not been bad, it has just been mysterious. The idea is to make it less mysterious and give some organization to it.

The other item I have to call to the attention of this body is the proposed revisions to the Constitution of the Faculty, which describes the relationship of the University Faculty to the Board of Trustees and the President of the University. A number of changes in the current document are now under consideration and will be discussed this year in the Indiana University Faculty Council. There are two items which I think concern not just this regional campus, but all of the regional campuses of Indiana University. Currently, very much like the Purdue system, each regional campus is only minimally represented on the IU Faculty Council. I don't think this is consistent with the increasing maturity and development of these regional

campuses, and some rearrangement, either on the basis of representation or the degree to which the Faculty Constitution grants autonomy and control to regional campuses, should be undertaken. In other words, I don't mind if this campus is represented only minimally on the Faculty Council if most of the important decisions regarding the faculty are made here. We could, perhaps, simply not even bother to go to those meetings since our representation is so small. Nor would I mind being represented on a body that deals with important matters if our voice weighs as heavily as the voice of any of the other campuses in the system. I think this is the crux of the case regarding the new Constitution.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

W. Frederick: I have attached to the agenda a report on the current status of documents passed by this body (SR No. 91-17). In addition, I have two items I would like to call to your attention: one, I have forwarded the request for the election of the Management Agreement Committee to the Nominations and Elections Committee. Senator Conn will be reporting on that under "committee reports for information only." On the back of the list of items we have acted on in the Senate, there is a copy of letters from Presidents Beering and Ehrlich. I would encourage you to read those letters, which will be pertinent to items "for action" from the Educational Policy Committee today (SD 91-12).

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 91-11) - D. Oberstar

D. Oberstar moved to approve SD 91-11 (Research Misconduct Procedures) with two editorial changes:

1. Page 1, item 6, the following sentence should be added at the end of the second sentence: The committee will elect its own chair.
2. Page 2, paragraph 1, under "Appeals," the word "working" should be inserted after the word "five" and the word "receiving" should be inserted after the word "of."

Seconded.

M. Downs moved to recommit SD 91-11. Seconded.

R. Pacer moved to postpone consideration. Seconded.

The chair ruled the motion out of order.

M. Downs moved to withdraw his motion to recommit. Seconded.

Motion to withdraw passed on a voice vote.

R. Pacer moved to postpone consideration until the next meeting of the Senate.
Seconded.

Motion to postpone consideration passed on a voice vote.

b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 91-12) - S. Sarratore

S. Sarratore moved to approve SD 91-12 (Change in Title of SD 91-6 [Mission Statement]). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

c. Educational Policy Committee (enate Document SD 91-12) (for discussion) - S. Sarratore

S. Sarratore moved to approve SD 91-13 (Proposed Academic Calendar for 1993-1994). Seconded.

The calendar will be voted on at the next meeting of the Senate.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 91-18) - A. Dirkes:

A. Dukes presented SR No. 91-18 (Approval of minor in geology) for information.

b. Nominations and Elections Committee - P. Conn:

P. Conn announced the results of elections conducted for Speaker of the Indiana University Faculty, the Presiding Officer of the Faculty, and the Management Agreement Committee (see SR No. 91-19 attached).

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

A. Dirkes: I have been asked to inquire about the increase in the IPFW Athletic Center rates for this year. apparently the rates have been doubled beginning this January, and the request was to see if there was a reason for that. It seems that there wasn't any advanced notice for it.

R. Ritchie: The Athletic Center has been looking at the rates and the cost of doing business in the Athletic Center over the last couple of years. We have not raised those fees since 1984, at which time we had a slight increase. Since that time we have not had an increase of any type in the fee structure. The cost of doing business has risen, and the

facility has increased in the amount of equipment and the amount of programs. We thought the increase was necessary. These costs are well within range of other schools we checked with, as well as, of course, commercial facilities in the community, which exceed our amount considerably. Perhaps the down side of this would be not raising fees more incrementally over a period of time--say every couple of years--instead of having such a large increase at this time. Certainly we are going to consider that in the future, be it fee increases or other types of fees that we have. It has to do with the cost of running the business. In 1984 the Wellness Center had something in the neighborhood of two or three pieces of weight equipment, and hardly any of the equipment that you see in there today. All of that has been paid for through the athletic facility or subsidized by other budget costs. What we are trying to do is to get that closer to some sort of break-even point.

M. Downs: This is an elaboration on what the Vice Chancellor has said. Some of the equipment in that building was paid for or purchased with Students' Government funds in an appropriation which they made, which was very generous and certainly did enhance the attractiveness of the facility. I am a constant and regular user of the facility and I think that the increase, long delayed, is justified. I wish that the supply of hot water was a little more regular. I hope part of the new money will go for that. I urge the administration in regard to this and other user fees to consider phasing increases in as they are required year-by-year, rather than waiting so long and raising them so abruptly.

F. Kirchhoff. On behalf of Senator Hollander, who, you might have noticed, is racked by disease, I would like to inquire, is there anything going on in the state legislature that we should know about?

J. Lantz: I would be happy to respond. As near as I can figure out, the only thing that may have some implication for us is a work-force development bill. I say a work-force development bill because there are two, and there are several versions of it. They aren't all alike. It isn't clear to me. I wasn't able to be on the conference call about all the legislation last Friday. I have a sense it isn't any clearer than it was. The legislature apparently intends to adjourn by this Friday. So you are getting third readings and conference committees and all kinds of things. That's all we can figure out that has any importance to us, and we aren't sure what that importance will be.

A. Finco: Specifically, there is an item in the bill that has to do with 30 hours of credit that is supposed to be applicable to any degree across the whole state, which sounds as crazy as it is. Do you have any idea where that is?

J. Lantz: At this point the interpretation that has been put on that is that there would be a group that would represent the universities that would get together and would have a common 30 hours. Jack Dahl and I have had conversations about this; in fact, Jack wrote an elaborate position about this whole 30 hours, which I then forwarded with information to both Don Weaver and John Huie to have input from our lobbyists on both sides. I wish I could be more explicit. It keeps changing, so it is like trying to pick up mercury as you go around and trace the bill.

D. McCants: There is more than one version of the bill. There is a version of the bill that was a topic of discussion among Indiana University academic officers about three weeks ago. And, I believe, a version of that was discussed more recently at the University Faculty Council. It does not say 30 hours towards a degree, but 30 hours towards graduation. There is a distinction between hours that apply towards degrees and hours that apply towards graduation.

S. Hollander: I have attended meetings on the subject in the IU system, if I am still allowed to use that word. One of the provisions is that students could take the 30 credits from any state institution--where any state institution includes Ivy Tech. I asked Bill Plater who is, I suppose, unofficial academic vice chancellor for Indiana University, whether the university had taken any steps to lobby against or testify in committee against these provisions and his response was "no."

A. Finco: The Intercampus Faculty Council will have a meeting on February 18. If there are any issues that anyone has regarding the Purdue system, please transmit them to Wayne Unsell or me before the 18th.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty