

Minutes of the
Sixth Regular Meeting of the Eighth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
February 13 and 20, 1989
Noon, Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of January 16 and 23, 1989
3. Acceptance of the agenda - J. Haw
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University - M. Downs
 - b. Purdue University - K Stevenson
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 88-15) - D. Onwood
 - b. Agenda Committee (Senate Document SDs 88-16, -17, -18, -19, -23) - J. Haw
 - c. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 88-20) - D. Onwood
 - d. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 88-21) - S. Hollander
 - e. Agenda Committee (Senate Document SD 88-22) - J. Owen
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
 - Rules Committee (Senate Reference No. 88-13) - D. Onwood
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Session I
(February 13)

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, M. Auburn, J. Bell, F. Borelli, H. Broberg, J. Carnaghi, V. Craig, M. Downs, P. Flynn, E. Foley, W. Frederick, A. Friedel, H. Garcia, L. Graham, J. Haw, J. Hersberger, D. Hockensmith, S. Hollander, A. Karna, F. Kirchhoff, J. Lantz, B. Lingaraj, M. Lipman, A. Mahmoud, J. Manzer, E. Messal, J. Meyers, R. Miers, D. Monteith, D. Oberstar, D. Onwood, J. Outland, J. Rivers, M. Rosenfeld, D. Ross, S. Sarratore, D. Schmidt, R. Sedlmeyer, S. Skekloff, J. Smulkstys, E. Snyder, K Stevenson, J. Sunderman, D. Swinehart, J. Switzer, G. Szymanski, G. Ulmschneider, W. Unsell, K Wakley, P. Zonakis

Senate Members Absent:

K Keller, M. Laudeman, J. Owen, A. Pugh, A. Shupe, K Squadrito, S. Usman

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Attachments:

["Nomination and Election of Senators, 1989"](#) (SD 88-15)

"Nomination, Election, and Replacement of Faculty Representatives" (SD's [88-16](#), [-17](#), [-18](#), [-19](#), [-23](#))

"[Amendments to the Bylaws of the Fort Wayne Senate](#)" (SD 88-20)

"[Election of replacement members of the Transitional Studies Advisory Subcommittee, the Accelerated High School Studies Advisory Subcommittee, the Rules Committee, and the University Resources Policy Committee](#)" (SD 88-22)

Faculty Members Present:

L. Balthaser, A. Bassett, G. Blumenshine, V. Coufoudakis, E. Cowen, M. Crouch, L. Griffin, D. McCants, S. Slack, R. Svoboda

Visitors Present: D. Benson, J. Dahl, M. Dinnerstein, N. Newell

Acta

1. Call to order: J. Lantz called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of January 16 and 23, 1989: The minutes were approved as written.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

J. Haw moved to amend the document by adding SD 88-23 (School of Business and Management Sciences: Apportionment and Election of Senators) to item 6.b of the agenda. Seconded.

The agenda was accepted as amended.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

- a. Indiana University:

M. Downs: Some of you may have read a story in the Indiana University Newspaper about the president's "One University" report, which lists this faculty as having endorsed a series of recommendations. The story is at best premature and, for that reason, at least, inaccurate. We have not endorsed this by a faculty vote, although the items we are listed as having endorsed are certainly laudable and we probably would endorse them if we ever got a chance to vote on them. However, we haven't voted on them yet. So the story is at least premature.

You may also have read a summary of the task force report on health-care benefits. If you haven't, I certainly hope that you will. I have served as co-chair of that task force and there is going to be considerable discussion of the changes proposed in the health-care program. I certainly am interested in hearing what people here have to say, even if it is not particularly pleasant.

The third item is one which would ordinarily come under "general good and welfare." Professor Crouch from Labor Studies has asked me to tell people that he has petitions which can be signed against the airport bond issue. The reason I do it now is because I am afraid we might run into a situation today where the meeting recesses until next week. Petitions have been circulated in favor of the bond issue on campus. This is the other side of the petition, against the bond issue.

b. Purdue University:

K. Stevenson: I need to alert the Purdue University faculty as to what is going on with an advisory group of West Lafayette and regional-campus faculty. Maynard Mansfield is the representative from our campus on this advisory group. It appears that the goal of this group is to reorganize the West Lafayette Faculty Senate so that there is more faculty orientation in that Senate and to organize a new faculty council with members from all Purdue campuses. Maynard tells me they hope to have their recommendations ready in March. Of course, nothing will be done in terms of this new faculty council until all the campuses approve it. There is hope, perhaps, that at the next meeting, or the one thereafter, we will have more specific information about what is going on.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

J. Lantz: The Senate Agenda Committee has invited Mark Crouch to attend this Senate meeting and has extended speaking privileges to Mr. Crouch with regard to discussion of SD 88-20, and it has invited Gary Blumenshine with regard to discussion of SD 88-21.

Following advice from the Rules Committee, the Agenda Committee, and the Educational Policy Committee, the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs has certified the list of faculty for voting and apportionment purposes following the provisions in the Constitution we approved in December 1987. I know this Constitution has not yet had final approval, but all parties have agreed to treat it as a "working document"--a document which we will work on until it is given final approval.

One consequence of using the working document is certifying the voting faculty. All faculty with the word "visiting" in their titles are no longer voting faculty under our new Constitution. There has been consultation with the faculty and the people who have the authority for Dental Auxiliary Education, SPEA, and Labor Studies, and they have been certified as voting faculty.

I have forwarded to President Beering a copy of Fort Wayne Senate Document SD 88-13 (Procedures for Promotion and Tenure), which was amended and approved by this Senate at its January meeting.

The search for the dean of the School of Arts and Sciences continues. We have had four candidates on campus for interviews; there are two remaining candidates who will be interviewed this week.

As your interim chancellor, last week I spoke about the role of IPFW and showed our new film "What If" to the Downtown Rotary and the full membership of the Corporate Council. If any of you have not seen the tape, we would be happy to have you see it, and we would be happy to have you use it with any of your advisory or community groups.

The Community Advisory Council of IPFW will meet on Wednesday of this week. The agenda will include an update of our 25th Anniversary Committee, a review of the nomination procedures for honorary degree candidates, discussion of potential town and gown programs for 1989-90, and a report on the process and progress of our North Central accreditation study.

Also, I would like to advise you that in 1990 we will be visited by the American Dental Association Commission on Accreditation for the purpose of accrediting our dental program. We are working on that already.

Next week, February 21, the Fort Wayne Chamber of Commerce will be having a meeting in Indianapolis for the General Assembly. We will have legislative briefing sessions and a reception. We are very grateful to the Chamber of Commerce for placing our needs for the campus as one of their two priorities. I will be attending that meeting representing this campus.

I would also announce that SPEA is presenting a congressional debate between Dan Heath and Jill Long on our campus on Wednesday, February 15, CM159, at 7:00 p.m. Tickets are available in SPEA.

S. Hollander: You said that the new Constitution approved by this Faculty has been accepted as a working document by all parties concerned. Who are those parties?

J. Lantz: I assumed the Senate because they passed it, the committees, and President Beering and President Ehrlich have discussed it and are willing to accept it as a working document.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Rules Committee (SD 88-151- D. Onwood:

D. Onwood moved to approve SD 88-15 (Nomination and Election of Senators, 1989). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Agenda Committee (SD's 88-16, -17, -18, -19, -23) - J. Haw:

J. Haw moved to approve SD's 88-16 (School of Education: Nomination and Election of Faculty Representatives), -17 (Division of Health Sciences- Methods of

Nomination, Election, and Replacement of Faculty Senators), -18 (Library: Procedures for Nominating and Electing Senators), -19 (School of Engineering and Technology: Apportionment and Election of Senators), and -23 (School of Business and Management Sciences: Apportionment and Election of Senators). Seconded.

H. Broberg moved to amend SD 88-19 by adding in item 1 a new "a." which would read: one will be the Dean of the School, and relettering the other two items in item 1. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

S. Hollander moved to amend SD 88-19 by deleting from the last paragraph the words "Instructional Faculty who is also a member of the." Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

S. Hollander moved to amend SD 88-16 by deleting in item 6 the words "thus initiating a full term of office." Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

c. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 88-20) - D. Onwood:

D. Onwood moved to approve SD 88-20 (Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate). Seconded.

H. Broberg moved to amend SD 88-20, Section 5.3.5.2.1, by adding after the words "Computer Science" the words " and one from the library." Seconded.

Motion failed on a voice vote.

M. Downs moved to amend SD 88-20 by adding to section 1.1, at the end of the sentence, the words "or the Library." Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

d. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 88-21) - S. Hollander:

S. Hollander moved to approve SD 88-21 (Academic Calendar for 1990-1991).
Seconded.

M. Downs moved to amend SD 88-21 by substituting Option 11 for Option I.
Seconded. The meeting recessed at 1:15 p.m.

Session II
(February 20)

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, M. Auburn, J. Bell, F. Borelli, H. Broberg, J. Carnaghi, M. Downs, P. Flynn, E. Foley, W. Frederick, A. Friedel, H. Garcia, L. Graham, J. Haw, J. Hersberger, D. Hockensmith, S. Hollander, A. Karna, F. Kirchhoff, J. Lantz, M. Laudeman, B. Lingaraj, A. Mahmoud, J. Manzer, E. Messal, J. Meyers, R. Miers, D. Monteith, D. Oberstar, D. Onwood, J.. Outland, J: Owen, J. Rivers, M. Rosenfeld, D. Ross, S. Sarratore, D. Schmidt, R. Sedlmeyer, A. Shupe, S. Skekloff, J. Smulkstys, E. Snyder, K: Squadrito, K Stevenson, J. Sunderman, D. Swinehart, G. Szymanski, G. Ulmschneider, W. Unsell, S. Usman

Senate Members Absent:

V. Craig, K Keller, M. Lipman, A. Pugh, J. Switzer, K Wakley, P. Zonakis

Parliamentarian: D. McCants

Faculty Members Present:

L. Balthaser, G. Blumenshine, V. Coufoudakis, S. Slack, R. Svoboda

Visitors Present: D. Benson, L. Bishop, J. Dahl, M. Linville, N. Newell

Acta

J. Lantz reconvened the February meeting at 12:01 p.m.

6. Committee reports requiring action: Educational Policy Committee (SD 88-21) - S. Hollander:

d. Educational Policy Committee (SD 88-21) - S Hollander:

D. Schmidt moved to table the amendment to substitute Option II (the 16-week calendar) for Option I (the 15-week calendar) until we know whether the "main campus" will allow this campus to have a 15-week semester. Seconded.

Motion failed on a voice vote.

Motion to substitute Option 11 for Option I failed on a show of hands by a vote of 28 to 20.

M. Downs moved to suspend the rules and to vote on the calendar proposal.
Seconded.

Motion failed on a show of hands by a vote of 24 to 24.

D. Schmidt moved to postpone consideration of SD 88-21 until we have information sought from the Board of Trustees regarding the permissibility of having a 15-week semester. Seconded.

D. Onwood called for a point of order and asked the chair to rule on the point of order since the motion in question seems to be in conflict with the Bylaws of the Senate.

The chair ruled that the motion was in order.

Motion to postpone failed on a voice vote.

D. Onwood moved to postpone consideration of SD 88-21 until the March meeting. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

e. Agenda Committee (SD 88-22~J. Owen:

J. Owen moved to approve SD 88-22 (Election of replacement members of the Transitional Studies Advisory Subcommittee and the Accelerated High School Studies Advisory Subcommittee). Seconded.

D. Onwood moved to amend SD 88-22 by adding parallel language which would approve David Swinehart to fill a vacancy on the Rules Committee and Joel Rivers to fill a vacancy on the University Resources Policy Committee. Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports "for information only" - Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 88-13-D. Onwood:

The Rules Committee presented SR No. 88-13 (Nomination and Election of Senators: The Transitional Period, and the Prevalence of the Constitution) for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

C. Scott: I will be very brief because I realize you have only 10 weeks left in the semester. I have been asked to come here to bring you up-to-date on the work that is progressing on the 25th anniversary of this campus at this particular location--an event which will be celebrated this coming academic year. Our three strategic objectives are: 1) to throw

ourselves a party--to throw ourselves a party in the sense of faculty, staff and students. We indeed will have that, a celebration--an event of celebration that we have not only endured for 25 years, but we are prevailing; 2) we want to use this occasion as an opportunity... to do some things that perhaps we have not been able to do before. The reason that I am involved with this project is because I see it as an opportunity for all of us to try and do things ... from a broader-based campus that we've never been able to pull off, but perhaps we can get some added leverage from the fact that it's the anniversary; and 3) and this is an ongoing task for the university obviously, is to reveal to the community that we are no candle hidden under a bushel here--that we do indeed have, have had, continue to have, and will have an ongoing program that involves the community involvement and betterment not only of our students, but in other aspects of community life. In that respect we will be doing a variety of kinds of activities, most of which universities have always done, and we have always done, in fact. We will be giving a more highlighted presentation in the form of university lectures, concerts, art exhibits, and occasions upon which the community can feel itself a part of this university and prove its own understanding of this campus, which is perhaps the greater problem. So we are going to be involved in a three-step stage, then: 1) to bolster our own morale--our own sense of esprit as a part of a university campus; 2) to provide ourselves in terms of departments and units and individual faculty of programmatic work, work in terms of anything that we would like to have done in the past, but somehow have not gotten it off the ground; and 3) to reveal to the community the sorts of things that the university has always been up to but now will be given an additional means of doing so. I would be happy to answer any questions.

You probably have received in the past some sort of propaganda from me in respect to a couple of aspects: 1) my request--partly as an historian, but also as a part of the 25th-anniversary celebration--to encourage you, as some of you in this room have already done, to send to the university archives some sense of your own feeling of what the life on this campus has been in the past--your sense of what things have worked and what haven't--the kinds of people and the kinds of decisions that you think have been important in the life of this university. At some point there will be an honest-to-goodness history written of this place. It may well be beyond the lifetimes of all of us, but I think it will require some of that kind of material that is oftentimes for historians very difficult to gather. We are not asking you for any money. We are asking for your support in the various ways that you as an individual or as a member of a department or school might, perhaps, add to both the education that's provided by you and your group as well as the community's understanding of that.

M. Downs: I have two items. One is a matter of public concern for all of us on this campus. You all just recently received a letter signed by Ken Stevenson and myself and others--the leaders of the clerical and service staff association and the administrative staff council--asking you to consider the positive aspects of contributing money to this campus. You couldn't possibly get through "good and welfare" at this time of year without somebody asking for money. I am sure if I didn't do it, Ken would do it. He may even do it after I am finished. If this were a parish this would be the annual sermon on the importance of giving. As Vice Chancellor Nicholson used to say, "Give to the comfort of your means." It is important, I think, for a number of reasons, to seriously consider this and respond

positively, even if only in a small way. Solicitation of funds for the university in the community at large depends on our own willingness to contribute to the university's support. It is possible for us to designate the money for a variety of educational purposes, some of which directly relate to education. Nevertheless, there is something in it for us if we do it. There is a tax break at the state level and at the federal level. Ken and I have tentatively decided that if we improve over last year's performance, we aren't going to bother anybody; but if the first round of solicitations yields no better result, we may come and bother you. We won't bother you in a big way, but you probably have better things to do than to listen to us ask you to contribute money to something that you probably ought to be willing to give money to anyway. Try to do it in as painless a way as possible through payroll deduction. If you want to do it in a more painful way that's all right with me. We would like to do better than we did last year.

The second item I wanted to call to this body's attention is a personal one. About four weeks ago I sustained a painful, but even more embarrassing injury. A community such as this at its best aspires to be very much like a family. I have been stopped innumerable times, and people have expressed interest and concern and curiosity and sympathy and best wishes. I have not always been gracious in responding to those expressions of interest and concern and sympathy--mostly because I was either in pain or embarrassed about the way in which the accident occurred. I think, for me at least, this community comes very close to being a family, and in one way, it is superior to my real family--nobody has said to me that "this serves you right." I have heard that at home. I appreciate everybody's interest, concern and sympathy. Thank you.

D. Oberstar: I have a question about the giving program. I thought it was understood that unless you tell them differently, that if you have pledged and they take it out on a regular basis out of payroll deduction they will continue to do it, but you won't have to fill out that form every year. Is that correct?

M. Downs: They are supposed to notify you when you come to the end of the period of your commitment. If you wish it to go further, you should let them know.

J. Lantz: Vice President Doug Wilson's wife passed away last week. He is Vice President for University Relations with Indiana University. I would just mention that, for any of you who know him, you may want to write him a note.

S. Hollander: I can't let this meeting end without telling Professor Downs that "It serves him right."

W. Frederick: Second.

10. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 1:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt

Secretary of the Faculty