

Minutes of the  
Seventh Regular Meeting of the Nineteenth Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne  
March 13, 2000  
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda\*

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of February 14, 2000
3. Acceptance of the agenda - M. Downs
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Purdue University - J. Silver
  - b. Indiana University - M. Downs
5. Report of the Presiding Officer - R. Hess
6. Committee reports requiring action
  - a. Agenda Committee (SD 99-12) - M. Downs
  - b. Rules Committee (SD 99-13) – K. McDonald
  - c. Rules Committee (SD 99-14) - K. McDonald
  - d. University Resources Policy/Student Affairs Committees (SD 99-15) - C. Drummond
  - e. Drummond
  - f.
  - g. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 99-16) - C. Drummond
  - h. Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-17) - L. Wright-Bower  
Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-18) - L. Wright-Bower  
Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-19) - L. Wright-Bower
7. Question time (SR No. 99-16)
8. New business  
  
Agenda Committee (SD 99-20) - M. Downs
9. Committee reports "for information only"
  - a. Agenda Committee (SR No. 99-17) - M. Downs
  - b. Faculty Affairs Committee (SR No. 99-18) - D. Oberstar
  - c. Educational Policy Committee (SR No. 99-19) ) L. Wright-Bower
10. The general good and welfare of the University
11. Adjournment

\*As amended

Presiding Officer: R. Hess  
Parliamentarian: J. Clausen  
Sergeant-at-Arms: M. Sherr  
Secretary: B. Blauvelt

---

Attachments:

"[Amendment to the Constitution](#) of the Faculty: Definition of Voting Faculty" (SD 99-13)  
"[Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate](#): Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs Representation on Committees" (SD 99-14)  
"[Statement of Support for Student Housing](#)" (SD 99-15)  
"[Allocation of Space for Non-University Organizations](#)" (SD 99-16)  
"[Distance Education Coordinating Committee](#) (DECCO) Operating Principles" (SD 99-17)  
"[Proposed Amendment to the Academic Regulations](#) (SD 85-18) - Grade Reports" (SD 99-18)  
"Update of SD 91-6 (Superseding the current version) Statement of Purpose: An Elaboration of the University [Mission Statement](#)" (SD 99-19)  
"Amendment to SD 88-19 (ETCS: Apportionment and Election of Senators) (SD 99-20)  
"[School of Engineering, Technology and Computer Science: Apportionment and Election of Senators](#)" (SD 88-19, as amended)  
"[Written Response to Question Time](#): W. Branson" (SR No. 99-20)  
"Approval of replacement member of the Nominations and Elections" (SD 99-12) [approved - not attached]

---

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, H. Abu-Mulaweh, K. Ahern, R. Berger, P. Bingi, F. Borelli, W. Branson, J. Brennan, M. Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, L. DeFonso, W. DeMott, M. Downs, C. Drummond, C. Erickson, B. Fife, L. Fox, I. Hack, P. Hamburger, S. Hannah, S. Harroff, B. Harwood, B. Hume, A. Javaheri, M. Kimble, D. Marshall, N. McCroskey, K. McDonald, J. Nichols, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, D. Pfeffenberger, A. Pugh, B. Salmon, H. Samavati, R. Sedlmeyer, Z. Shipchandler J. Silver, K. Squadrito, J. Tankel, C. Thompson, R. Tierney, W. D. Weakley, L. Wright-Bower, Y. Zubovic  
Senate Members Absent: C. Champion, C. Leiserson, R. Manalis, D. Vasquez, M. Wartell  
Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, D. McCants, D. Thuente  
Visitors Present: A. Alesia, D. Conklin, J. Dahl, R. Kostrubanic

Acta

1. Call to order: R. Hess called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of February 14, 2000: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

M. Downs moved to amend the agenda to include an item under New Business: SD 99-20 (Amendment to SD 88-19 [ETCS: Apportionment and Election of Senators]). Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a voice vote.

The agenda was approved as amended.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

a. Purdue University:

J. Silver: We have only sixteen names for the Academic Personnel Grievance Committee. We need to take this as a serious responsibility if we want to have a grievance process. I encourage everyone here to consider, if you haven't already done, submitting your name for nomination. I point out that the committee is open to all voting faculty with Purdue University appointments. Please encourage your colleagues who fit in that category to submit their names for nomination also.

b. Indiana University:

M. Downs: There are two important issues before the University Faculty Council. One has to do with academic ranks. You may remember that I circulated to everybody the current proposed document. I have heard from some people; I'd like to hear from others if they're interested in this issue.

The other issue regards the transfer of credit between campuses. Two proposals are in disagreement: one from IUPUI and the other from Bloomington. If you're interested in this issue, you can call up the document on the University Faculty Council web page. I would like to hear from interested parties. The next UFC meeting is at the end of March.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer - R. Hess:

R. Hess: Jack Dahl, George Bullion, Solomon Isiorho, and Dave Thuente have been granted speaking privileges when it is appropriate.

6. Committee reports requiring action

a. Agenda Committee (SD 99-12) - M. Downs:

M. Downs moved to approve SD 99-12 (Approval of replacement member of the Nominations and Elections Committee). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

b. Rules Committee (SD 99-13) - K. McDonald:

K. McDonald moved to approve SD 99-13 (Amendment to the Constitution of the Faculty: Definition of Voting Faculty). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

c. Rules Committee (SD 99-14) - K. McDonald:

K. McDonald moved to approve SD 99-14 (Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs Representation on Committees). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

d. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 99-15) - C. Drummond:

C. Drummond moved to approve SD 99-15 (Statement of Support for Student Housing). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

e. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 99-16) - C. Drummond:

C. Drummond moved to approve SD 99-16 (Allocation of Space for Non-University Organizations). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

f. Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-17) - L. Wright-Bower:

L. Wright-Bower moved to approve SD 99-17 (Distance Education Coordinating Committee [DECCO] Operating Principles). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

g. Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-18) - L. Wright-Bower:

L. Wright-Bower moved to approve SD 99-18 (Proposed Amendment to the Academic Regulations [SD 85-18] - Grade Reports). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

h. Educational Policy Committee (SD 99-19) - L. Wright-Bower:

L. Wright-Bower moved to approve SD 99-19 (Update of SD 91-6 [Superseding the current version] - Statement of Purpose: An Elaboration of the University Mission Statement). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

7. Question time (SR No. 99-16):

*Q: It is understood that Indiana University is in negotiation with IPFW to restructure the future funding of the 18-20 retirement benefit eligible to most IU faculty on this campus. If negotiations have been completed:*

*1. What are the details of the restructured model--especially in relation to the current model?*

*2. What is the annual projected cost of the new model over its lifetime?*

*3. What are the projected sources of funds for covering the projected costs?*

*4. Regardless of the details of the restructured model--including a Purdue University buyout, will qualified IPFW faculty retain the right to exercise any future changes in options Indiana University may offer faculty?*

*If negotiations have not been completed, please answer the four questions above relative to the model currently being discussed.*

*Finally, how much has IPFW paid into the 18-20 retirement benefit to date, and how much has been paid out to IPFW faculty eligible for the benefit? Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee*

A: W. Branson: This question calls for a lot of detail. I haven't had a chance to pull it all together. I will be happy to respond in writing to this at some point. (See attached SR No. 99-20) We have been going through a long process of looking at the 18-20 retirement

plan. It started when I.U. realized that their plan was unfunded and that they had a tremendous liability to figure out how to fund. When they started those processes internally, they also started talking to us about how we could participate in helping to bail out the plan. They also, at the same time, had conversations with all of our regional campuses. One thing I want to make sure that everyone understands is that the benefit has never been on the table as an issue in terms of whether the faculty would get it or not. We always assumed that one way or another the faculty who are eligible would always get that benefit. We have now gone through a process and negotiated quite extensively with I.U. on what this campus's participation would be. We're very comfortable with the outcome of that and think it represents a fair solution that is to everyone's best benefit.

M. Downs: I served as the representative of the regional campus faculty on the committee that restructured the way the 18-20 liability was going to be liquidated. When negotiations were under way, Walt Branson and I talked about it; I also spoke with Vice President Palmer of Indiana University, who was involved in the negotiations. I have talked with Walt since the agreement was struck and also with Dan Rives of the Indiana University Benefits Office. I don't think we could have gotten a better deal. It is better than the deal Indiana University arranged for the other regional campuses. The real burden, the real cost of the solution, as is the way with these things, will fall on those faculty hired since the solution was implemented. I am sure Walt's figures will show that we came out of this as well or even better than expected.

V. Coufoudakis: Will you provide either through Walt Branson's office or Mike Downs some data about this so-called restructuring package. Everyone talks about the restructuring package, but nobody has any idea what this restructuring package is all about.

M. Downs: Two years ago when restructuring was submitted to the university's administration and the University Faculty Council, copies of it were readily available and distributed widely. Of course, time passes and these subjects need to be revisited. Although every I.U. faculty member received a copy of the details of the restructuring, I will see to it that they receive another copy.

R. Hess: I am going to interpret Dean Coufoudakis' question to indicate that he would like an answer to the five questions specified in SR No. 99-16. I will also indicate that Vice Chancellor Branson shook his head enthusiastically that he would provide such information.

8. New business:

M. Downs moved to approve SD 99-20 (Amendment to the School of Engineering, Technology and Computer Science: Apportionment and Election of Senators [SD 88-19]). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

9. Committee reports "for information only":

a. Agenda Committee (SR No. 99-17) - M. Downs:

SR No. 99-17 (Items under Discussion in Senate Committees and Subcommittees) was presented for information only.

b. Faculty Affairs Committee (SR No. 99-18) - D. Oberstar:

SR No. 99-18 (Gender Equity Study) was presented for information only.

c. Educational Policy Committee (SR No. 99-19) - L. Wright-Bower:

SR No. 99-19 (Faculty Compensation in Continuing Studies Credit Classes) was presented for information only.

10. The general good and welfare of the University:

M. Nusbaumer: At the last meeting the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs brought a table describing who among the administration was teaching. I inquired as to who all should be included in that report. I would like to refer back to SD 96-4 which establishes this policy and I quote, "Resolved, upper-level administrators, present and future, who hold faculty rank should, as a condition of their employment, be responsible for teaching one regularly scheduled class per year." My concern here is that there are other administrators holding faculty rank outside of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs office that should be included in the next report.

D. Weakley: Senate Nominations and Elections Committee is still looking for nominations for some committees:

Academic Appeals - need one more (no A&S or ETCS)

Developmental Studies - need one more (no A&S)

Educational Policy - need one more from one school (no A&S, ETCS or VPA)

IUCIA - need one from any school

PUCIA - need 3 (no more than 2 from a school)

Rules - need one more (no BMS) (can have no more than one from a school; the two running are from A&S and the Library)

URPC - need one more (no A&S)

Please consider placing your name in nomination for these committees. Thank you.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt  
Secretary of the Faculty