

Minutes of the  
Seventh Regular Meeting of the Twenty-Second Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne  
March 17, 2003  
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of February 10, 2003
3. Acceptance of the agenda – J. Grant
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Indiana University – M. Nusbaumer
  - b. Purdue University – P. Hamburger
5. Report of the Presiding Officer – R. Sedlmeyer
6. Committee reports requiring action
  - a. Executive Committee (SD 02-14) – J. Grant
  - b. Student Affairs Committee (SD 02-15) – L. Fox
7. New business
8. Committee reports “for information only”
  - a. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 02-25) – J. Grant
  - b. Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 02-26) – M. Codispoti
  - c. Executive Committee (Senate Reference No. 02-27) – J. Grant
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: R. Sedlmeyer  
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed  
Sergeant-at-Arms: D. Marshall  
Secretary: J. Petersen

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, P. Agness, L. Beineke, E. Blakemore, S. Blythe, M. Bookout, W. Branson, H. Broberg, G. Bullion, C. Carlson, M. Codispoti, L. Fox, R. Friedman, J. Grant, T. Grove, P. Hamburger, S. Hannah, S. Hartman, L. Hite, P. Iadicola, M. Lipman, D. Marshall, A. Mustafa, M. Myers, E. Neal, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, A. Perez, J. Purse-Wiedenhoef, D. Ross, H. Samavati, G. Schmelzle, K. Squadrito, J. Tankel, J. Toole, L. Vartanian, N. Younis, M. Wartell

Attachments:

- “[Approval of replacement member of the Educational Policy Committee](#)” (SD 02-14)
- “[Proposed editorial changes to SD 89-28 \(amended 11/18/02\)](#)” (SD 02-15)
- “[IPFW Code of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Conduct](#)” (SD 89-28, as amended)

Senate Members Absent:

R. Barrett, J. Brennan, C. Chauhan, S. Choi, C. Erickson, J. Hrehov, S. Isiorho, A. Karim, M. Kimble, J. Knight, L. Kuznar, L. Lin, W. Unsell

Faculty Members Present: J. Clausen, R. Hess, S. Sarratore

Visitors Present: S. Alderman, J. Dahl, R. Kostrubanic, P. McLaughlin

Acta

1. Call to order: R. Sedlmeyer called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of February 10, 2003: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:  
J. Grant moved to approve the agenda as distributed.  
The agenda was approved as distributed.
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
  - a. Indiana University: M. Nusbaumer had no report.
  - b. Purdue University: P. Hamburger had no report.
5. Report of the Presiding Officer: The Presiding Officer had no report.
6. Committee reports requiring action:
  - a. Executive Committee (SD 02-14) – J. Grant:  
J. Grant moved to approve SD 02-14 (Approval of replacement member of the Educational Policy Committee). Seconded.  
Motion to approve SD 02-14 passed on a voice vote.
  - b. Student Affairs Committee (SD 02-15) – L. Fox:  
L. Fox moved to approve SD 02-15 (Proposed editorial changes to SD 89-28 [amended 11/18/02]). Seconded.  
Motion to approve SD 02-15 passed on a voice vote.

7. New business:

There was no new business.

8. Committee reports “for information only”:

a. Executive Committee (SR No. 02-25) – J. Grant:

J. Grant presented SR No. 02-25 (Items under Consideration by Senate Committees and Subcommittees) for information only.

b. Curriculum Review Subcommittee (SR No. 02-26) – M. Codispoti:

M. Codispoti presented SR No. 02-26 (Proposal for Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering) for information only.

c. Executive Committee (SR No. 02-27) – J. Grant:

J. Grant presented SR No. 02-27 (Concerns regarding promotion and tenure issues) for information only.

E. Blakemore: I would just like to report that the Faculty Affairs Committee is considering these issues and a number of other issues regarding Promotion and Tenure that were raised by the campus Promotion and Tenure Subcommittee. You will hear about them in April.

P. Iadicola: Regarding SR 02-27, it lists me as approving, and I was absent at the time the vote was taken. So I need a correction on that document.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

J. Tankel: I have a series of questions that I hope that someone from the administration can answer.

You have announced that there are renovations in Neff Hall.

1. How many offices are anticipated for Neff Hall?
2. By when will the decision be made concerning these spaces?
3. Who is actually in charge of making the decision as to where and when these offices will be?

S. Hannah: There is a Neff Hall committee, so to speak, with representatives on it from a number of groups. That committee has a list of space needs that we have been working our way through. It is ongoing at this time.

J. Tankel: So no decision has been made?

S. Hannah: No, because we have not come up with exactly the best way to handle each of these things. There is a long list – there are more space needs on campus than there are spaces.

J. Tankel: At this time, is there any sense of timing for decisions?

S. Hannah: There may be people sitting in the hall this fall unless we figure out something. So, yes, we are trying to move information gathering and alternatives, and not choosing between ones that we are not particularly proud of, such as taking classrooms and turning them into offices, and taking lounges and turning them into offices.

R. Friedman: The Nominations and Elections Committee is still in need of some names for various Senate committees. This was just passed to me this morning from Jacqui. For the Student Affairs Committee, we need two more names: one name from two different schools except Arts & Sciences and Education. For the Executive Committee we need one more name from any of the schools listed. For Faculty Affairs, we need one more name from any of the schools listed. For the IU Committee on Institutional Affairs we need one more name. For the Educational Policy Committee we need one name from any of the schools listed. For the University Resources Policy Committee we need one name from two different schools, excluding Arts & Sciences and Business and Management Sciences. If anyone would like to volunteer, we will give you a cookie. You can e-mail either Jacqui or me. I am compiling the list, but we are really in need of some names.

J. Purse-Wiedenhoef: I am on the Educational Policy Committee, but I came on at the beginning of the year when there was a need. I am not sure if I am continuing. (J. Petersen mentioned that J. Purse-Wiedenhoef's term on the committee ends).

R. Hess: As a nonmember of the Senate, I would like permission to ask a question. The question is to Vice Chancellor Hannah: "Who is on that Neff Hall committee – who is the chairperson?"

S. Hannah: I suppose that, in effect, I am chair. Michelle DeVinney is there representing Arts and Sciences and, through her, the different Arts & Sciences departments. She is coordinating through them. There is a representative from each of the schools who are in Neff, and the departments work through their representatives to this space committee. Dave Danielson, Jack Dahl, and I sit on the committee. There is a representative from every school that is there. At our first meeting we had representatives from individual departments, and then we decided it was as convenient to go this other way. So, departments should be working through their schools.

R. Hess: Thank you.

M. Wartell: Please let me report on a couple of questions that have not been asked.

Legislative issues: The House passed a budget. It is a budget which is better for us than the governor's budget. In terms of our enrollment change money, which is very important to us (it is the formula that adds money to our budget based on enrollment), we are slated to get, from the House budget, 75% of that calculated from the formula -- 50% in the first year and 25% in the second year. That is much better than the zero the governor's budget allotted us.

Second, the House allocated 25% of our Rehabilitation & Repair to us. So in terms of maintenance, we are able to keep up a little bit.

Third, which is very good news, thanks to Representatives Alderman and Moses, and with help from Senator Meeks, the music building was put into the House budget, as amended. That is also very positive news. If you talk to any of your senators, that is, Indiana senators, please mention that. It is important that if you run into any of our representatives, please thank them because that is an important building for us. It represents a big change from either the Indiana Commission or governor's budget. All of that is good news. If there are other questions on the budget, I will be glad to answer them.

D. Oberstar: So the next stage is that the senate will have to give their budget?

M. Wartell: The Senate will have their version of a budget, and then there will be a conference committee on it. I know that our senators will work hard to keep the building in the budget.

M. Nusbaumer: Could you explain a little bit more in terms of the enrollment change money?

W. Wartell: Let me give it to you in round numbers. If 100% of the money were allocated to us, we would increase our budget by approximately \$3 million. The House budget result is that, in the first year of the biennium, we would get \$1.5 million, and in the second year of the biennium, we would get \$750,000, for a total of 75% of the \$3 million.

Purdue University - West Lafayette has begun a capital campaign to raise \$1.3 billion. We, as part of that campaign, will begin a campaign to raise \$20 million over the next five years. That is doable for us, and will include basically five areas: capital (buildings), faculty (endowed chairs), programs (things like the engineering outreach program for which we received a gift), scholarships, and unrestricted. The kickoff event will be May 30. You will be receiving more information about it. It is money to support the university. More and more we are going to become more dependent on private funds. We should be able to raise a lot more than that. I think \$20 million is a reasonable goal.

Finally, I would like to mention a piece of very good news. The bid opening for housing is tomorrow. With the good fortune and the kind of construction climate that we have right now, we will have a project. Notice that you are already inconvenienced (in a very nice way) by Crescent Avenue being closed because the bridge is being built. Things are moving along very well. The folks who are helping us to raise the \$3 million that we needed have done an excellent job, and we are moving toward that goal.

M. Nusbaumer: Assuming you get contracts that are acceptable, when would those come online?

M. Wartell: Fall, 2004. Opening at any time other than the fall would be courting disaster.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

Jacqueline J. Petersen  
Secretary of the Faculty