

Minutes of the
Eighth Regular Meeting of the Fourth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
April 8, 1985

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of March 11, 1985
3. Acceptance of the agenda
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Indiana University - M. Downs
 - b. Purdue University - J. Lantz
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 84-12) - J. Haw
 - b. Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-13, Slate for Election of Senate Committees and Subcommittees) - F. Codispoti
 - c. Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 84-13) - J. Lantz
 - d. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SO 84-14) - J. Brennan
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
 - a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-14, Calendar of Status of Legislation) - M. Lipman
 - b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-15, End-of-the-Year Committee Reports) - M. Lipman
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

R. Adams, R. Barrett, D. Bialik, K. Bordens, J. Brennan, J. Bundschuh, C. Butler, J. Carnaghi, J. Chandler, F. Codispoti, M. Grill, J. Davis, A. Dirkes, M. Downs, . Emery, N. Fincher, L. Fox, E. Goebel, J. Haw, L. Hess, R. Hess, R. Hill, S. Hollander, R. Kovara, J. Lantz, J. Lichti, M. Lipman, C. Maile, D. Mauritzen, D. McAlece, D. McGee, M. Miller, J. Moore, E. Nicholson, M. Nusbaumer, J. Owen, R. Pippert, R. Ramsey, M. Richeson, S. Slack, J. Smulkstys, M. Souers, J. Stauffer, J. Sunderman, J. Ulmer, K. Wakley, P. Zonakis

Attachments:

["1986-1987 Academic Calendar"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-12)

"Results of the Election of Senate Committees and Subcommittees" (Senate Reference No. 84-18)

["Amendment to SD 82-14 \[Amends FWSD 76-20 Promotion and Tenure Policies, Criteria, and Procedures\]"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-13) – (FWSD 76-20, as amended, will be distributed separately to Purdue University Faculty)

["Recommendation on SD 83-13 \[Unified Promotion and Tenure Document\]"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-14)

["Amendment to SD 83-12, 1985-1986 Academic Calendar"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-15)

["Recognition of Kirk Kavanaugh"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-16)

["Reaffirmation of Election of Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-17)

Senate Members Absent:

S. Beering, V. Coufoudakis, L. DeFonso, W. Klemme, M. Kubik, J. Ryan, W. Schlacks, J. Violette, W. Worthley

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Faculty Members Present:

L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), G. Blumenshine, T. Guthrie, E. Haglund, F. Kenworthy, E. Messal, R. Pacer, K. Stevenson

Visitors Present: J. Clinton, J. Dahl, B. Worley

Acta

1. Call to order: E. Nicholson called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of March 11, 1985: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:
M. Lipman moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.
The agenda was accepted as distributed.
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
 - a. M. Downs:
 - (1) President Ryan has recently appointed a university-wide committee to propose structural and organizational changes in the Indiana University system. This is the first time in nearly ten years that a committee of this type has been established. Based on the performance of the committee last time, which linked the Indianapolis and Bloomington campuses, I expect that there will be large and profound changes in the way this campus--at least the Indiana University component of this campus--and because of that to a lesser or` greater degree the Purdue component as

well--links with Bloomington. I think the committee is worth a great deal of attention. Last week the chairman of that committee, Professor Robert Bareikis from Bloomington, called and . . . said he would like to talk with people from IPFW who are interested in the subject. I have decided to call a meeting and invite everybody. There is no obligation to attend. The only thing I can say about it is that the last committee made vast changes in the system . . . and it would be a good idea for us to have as much to say directly as can be. The meeting is Monday, April 15, at 9:00 a.m., in Walb 112. Professor Bareikis will be here to describe what the committee is going to do and what types of issues are going to be studied. He will also receive suggestions, complaints, and proposals from anyone on this campus concerning the relationship of Bloomington to this campus. I'll be there, and I urge everybody else who has a complaint or suggestion to be there.

Any questions?

C. Butler: Do you have any idea what kinds of issues might be raised?

M. Downs: I think the major issue President Ryan wants the committee to address is the relationship of regional campuses to the system programs and to Bloomington. I think the role of system programs on the regional campuses is a major issue for us, and one Professor Bareikis suggested taking up. They have many of the same problems with those programs at Bloomington as we have here. The committee is proportioned, I think--at least in numbers, although not in skill and charm--fairly equally among regional campuses, the main campus, Indianapolis, and system programs. I hope we will be able to impress him that we care about the connection. If we can jam that room, I am sure he will be impressed.

- (2) This may just be a quibble about words. The Acting Chancellor has established a long-range planning and policy committee, and in the notice he sent out he said the [ad hoc Steering Committee for-the Academic Master Plan] committee on which I serve, which was established by the Senate last year, endorsed the proposal. I can't speak, or am unwilling to speak, for what I think the committee did, but as Speaker of the Indiana University Faculty, I made suggestions, I gave advice. My position is this: I think a Chancellor can name whatever committees he or she wishes to name and can empower them however he or she wishes; if a Chancellor asks me for advice, I'll give it. I did so. I acknowledge that right. But my own feeling is that such committees should be established within the faculty-governance system rather than outside of it, and although I have no objections to the establishment of this committee, what I said did not constitute an endorsement, and if that led anybody to make serious judgments, I wish they would revoke them or

change them. I am not entirely aware of what the other members of the committee thought.

b: J. Lantz:

Last fall, as a representative of the Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs, I came to you to discuss some recommended changes in the Purdue University Code. We got a kind of silent approval from you. That wasn't true on some of the other campuses, so I am coming back to you one more time, I hope, for some input on the composition of the Inter-campus Faculty Council. At this point each representative from the various campuses is coming back to the Senate for approval so we can go ahead and propose these changes. We all have to vote on it at one time, and no one can amend it or it all falls apart at that point. What we are now suggesting for the composition of the Inter-campus Faculty Council would be four representatives from West Lafayette, of whom at least one would represent the North Central Campus, and then from the Fort Wayne, Calumet, and Indianapolis campuses there would be three representatives from each campus. Each campus would decide how they would pick representatives for the Council. In addition to the faculty members of the Council, the President, or his or her designee, would coordinate it, the Secretary of the Faculty would be the Secretary, and the Registrar from West Lafayette would complete the Council. The CIA will suggest next fall that the Speaker of the Faculty will be one representative, and that each of the schools will select a representative. If there is anyone who would have serious objections to this composition of the Inter-campus Faculty Council would you see me before Wednesday at 7:30 a.m.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

E. Nicholson:

My report will be on the fine arts facility. I was told this morning that the Conference Committee has not met. As most of you probably know, the Senate version of the budget did not include an appropriation bill for the fine arts facility, not even the \$2.25 million. However, I have discussed several times with area legislators how we might go about restoring that item.. They believe that they can restore it through the Conference Committee for, perhaps, full funding through the normal processes of bonding authority. They have not given me assurances. It would be foolish to do so. I am convinced that they continue to work very hard to get the building that is needed.

Downs: Did the House Budget Committee include a funding proposal for the fine arts facility?

Nicholson: Yes, \$2.25 million.

Downs: That was contingent on raising \$2.25 million in the community?

Nicholson: Yes.

Downs: I have been informed that the divisions and schools have not been directed to conduct elections for the members of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee which was established by the Senate and which amended the Bylaws of the Senate. Is there a problem with that particular item?

Nicholson: I met with the President [Beerling], and based on his experience at Indianapolis he has some of the same reservations about the committee that I have: the intent of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee, the practicality of it, the benefit of it. He referred the matter to Fred Ford. I intend to meet with Fred in the near future, so I have not called for elections because it hasn't been approved. A committee of this nature has to be approved by Purdue University--the fiscal agent for this campus. So I decided to hold off having the elections until I have these discussions.

Pippert: That seems a strange interpretation to me. I have never heard anything like that--that the President approves Senate committees. Certainly I can understand that if the committee were established for determination of the budget, that would be totally new to any faculty prerogatives. That's not a faculty item. How the President can approve or not approve a Senate committee that is designed to provide input is beyond my comprehension. The Senate can establish any committees it wants to. I don't understand why that wouldn't be implemented. That is different from anything I recall ever happening with regard to a Senate committee.

Nicholson: This is an advisory body. I provided him with that advice--that you would like to establish such a committee. He shared with me the reservations he had, and if you wish to have elections, you may have elections. Whether or not this committee will deliberate as it intends is a different matter. It is an administrative matter as well as a faculty Senate matter. So it seems to me that you need and want the concurrence of the administration of Purdue University on a matter of this importance. Don't you agree?

Pippert: Not necessarily, no.

Downs: You say if we want elections, we can have elections. Does that mean if we ask you to, you would direct the units to have elections?

Nicholson: Certainly, but I am not going to tell you I concur with the establishment of this committee, or the disposition as it has been defined for this committee, until I talk with the administration further.

Downs: I would like you to move forward with the elections. Perhaps we can work out a modus vivendi between the administration and the committee after it's elected and established. Under "new business," then, I will propose that you conduct the elections, posthaste. And, of course, then, how the committee relates to the

administration is something we can discuss at a future date if it's unacceptable to those people whose cooperation is needed.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 84-12) - J. Haw:

J. Haw moved that the Senate resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for discussion of 84-12 (1986-1987 Academic Calendar). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

E. Nicholson yielded the chair to J. Haw for consideration of SD 84-12.

M. Lipman moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and report to the Senate. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

J. Haw returned the chair to E. Nicholson and reported the recommendation for approval of Minority Report III of SD 84-12 by the Committee of the Whole to the Senate. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

R. Barrett moved to amend Minority Report III of SD 84-12 to change the spring recess to begin after the last weekend college class on Sunday, May 8, and to end at 4:30 p.m., Friday, March 13, and to suspend classes beginning at 4:30 p.m., Friday, April 17 and to resume classes on Monday, April 20. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

The meeting recessed at 1:15 p.m.

Session II
(April 15)

Members Present:

R. Adams, R. Barrett, D. Bialik, K. Bordens, J. Brennan, C. Butler, J. Carnaghi, J. Chandler, F. Codispoti, M. Crill, J. Davis, L. DeFonso, A. Dirkes, M. Downs, R. Emery, N. Fincher, L. Fox, E. Goebel, J. Haw, L. Hess, R. Hill, S. Hollander, W. Klemme, R. Kovara, J. Lantz, J. Lichti, M. Lipman, C. Maile, D. Mauritzen, D. McAleece, D. McGee, M. Miller, J. Moore, M. Nusbaumer, R. Pippert, R. Ramsey, S. Slack, M. Souers, J. Stauffer, J. Ulmer, J. Violette, K. Wakley, P. Zonakis

Members Absent:

S. Beering, J. Bundschuh, V. Coufoudakis, R. Hess, M. Kubik, E. Nicholson, J. Owen, M. Richeson, J. Ryan, W. Schlacks, J. Smulkstys, J. Sunderman, W. Worthley

Faculty Present: L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), F. Kenworthy

Visitors Present: B. Worley

Acta

M. Downs called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

b. Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-13) - F. Codispoti:

F. Codispoti conducted the election for the Senate committees and subcommittees for next year. (See Senate Reference No. 84-18) He announced the addition of an Indiana University vacancy on the Faculty Affairs Committee. (J. Brennan has resigned from the Faculty Affairs Committee because of a sabbatical leave in the next academic year.)

F. Codispoti asked for nominations from the floor to add to the committee ballots. S. Hollander nominated R. Ramsey for membership on the Rules Committee.

c. Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 84-13) - J. Lantz:

J. Lantz moved to approve SD 84-13 (Amendment to SD 82-14 [Amends FWSD 76-20 Promotion and Tenure Policies, Criteria, and Procedures]). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

d. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-14) - J. Brennan:

J. Brennan moved to approve SD 84-14 (Recommendation on SD 83-13 Unified Promotion and Tenure Document]). Seconded.

Motion passed on a show of hands.

7. New business

- a. J. Haw moved to approve SD 84-15 (Amends SD 83-12, 1985-1986 Academic calendar). Seconded.

Motion passed on a show of hands.

- b. M. Nusbaumer moved to approve SD 84-16 (IPFW Senate Resolution recognizing Kirk Kavanaugh). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

- c. S. Hollander moved to approve SD 84-17 which reads: "Resolved, That the Senate reaffirm its desire that the Presiding Officer conduct elections for members of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee." Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

- a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-14) - M. Lipman:

M. Lipman presented Senate Reference No. 84-14 (Calendar of Status of Legislation) to the Senate for information only.

- b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 84-15) - V. Coufoudakis:

M. Lipman presented Senate Reference No. 84-15 (Documents under Deliberation by Senate Committees and Subcommittees). He reported that the reports of the Professional Development Subcommittee and the Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs were distributed separately and should be made a part of the record. (Copies are available from the Secretary of the Faculty.)

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

E. Goebel: Could we have a report on what has been happening at the Indiana State Legislature?

J. Carnaghi: We have been getting phone calls today. We have a half a building again. They are trying to get the entire building. It doesn't appear as though they have increased the salary-increase pool. There has not been much change from what the House recommended to the Senate. There is still negotiation going on.

M. Downs: Is there a certain percentage of increase which has been recommended regarding compensation?

J. Carnaghi: At the House level it was 4.3 percent. At this point, the Senate has not changed the percentage.

J. Violette: The library book sale is this Wednesday.

S. Hollander: Two separate matters: (1) As chair of the Rules Committee, I would like to remind chairs of Senate committees and subcommittees that minutes have to be kept of those meetings. I am aware that a few committees are not keeping minutes. (2) I would like to suggest that for the first go-round of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee, Senators and other members of the faculty give serious consideration to voting for people who are likely to enjoy the respect of the administration. We want that committee to work well. I think we need that kind of people on it, at least initially.

E. Goebel: Would you be able to give us a report on what transpired this morning with the gentleman from Indiana University Bloomington?

M. Downs: The discussion generally dealt with the relationship of this campus to the Indiana University system, and major areas of concern dealt with the relationship between system programs and their interests, and the campus's interests. It dealt generally with a particular problem, and that is that the main campus is reluctant to allow regional campuses to have equal weight on committees that deal with system concerns. Joanne Lantz suggested that that would be a good way of establishing a system that was fair and equitable for each campus--equal weight on committees with every other campus. This task force which is going to be dealing with this matter has really accorded only one out of thirteen votes to regional-campus interests. I suggested that if they were unwilling to give us an equal voice in the way in which a system is arranged, then they should give us a great deal of flexibility and autonomy to conduct matters on this campus according to our own best lights--either give us a piece of the action, or leave us alone would be the best way to put it. The interests of this campus, I think, were presented forcefully. Professor Bareikis went away hearing not only what he will hear from other regional campuses, but also some problems which are specifically IU problems. Particularly, he was interested in knowing what kind of support the committee would receive from the Faculty if a proposal came to make this campus independent. I said--and there were a lot of people there who could have contradicted me but nobody did--that generally I think the Faculty accepted the current association with the two systems, and would fight to maintain it. I thought that it was also important for him to know that there are things that could be done here that could change the attitudes of the Faculty toward continued relationships with the two systems. If we don't take that particular point of view, I think we set ourselves up for being "done to." The committee will be back to air these matters in more detail. There are no agendas at this point except to complete a report to be submitted to President Ryan by June of next year.

10. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 12:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty