

Minutes of the
First Regular Meeting of the Twentieth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
September 11, 2000
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of April 10, 17, and 24, 2000
3. Acceptance of the agenda - M. Downs
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
 - a. Purdue University - J. Silver
 - b. Indiana University - B. Fife
5. Report of the Presiding Officer (SR No. 00-1) - L. Wright-Bower
6. Committee reports requiring action
 - Agenda Committee (SD 00-1) - M. Downs
7. Question Time (Senate Reference No. 00-2)
8. New business
9. Committee reports "for information only"
10. The general good and welfare of the University
11. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: L. Wright-Bower

Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed

Sergeant-at-Arms: J. Njock Libii

Secretary: B. Blauvelt

Senate Members Present:

B. Abbott, H. Abu-Mulaweh, P. Bingi, E. Blakemore, F. Borelli, W. Branson, J. Brennan, H. Broberg, C. Champion, M. Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, S. Davis, L. DeFonso, W. DeMott, M. Downs, C. Drummond, C. Erickson, B. Fife, L. Fox, J. Grant, T. Grove, P. Hamburger, S. Hannah, S. Hartman, B. Harwood, L. Hite, B. Hume, M. Kimble, D. Marshall, N. McCroskey, J. Nichols, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, A. Pugh, D. Ross, B. Salmon, R. Sedlmeyer, J. Silver, K. Squadrito, J. Tankel, J. Tok, M. H. Thuente, M. Wartell, L. Wright-Bower, Y. Zubovic

Senate Members Absent: K. O'Connell, W. Skoog, Z. Shipchandler

Medical Education Representative: R. Sweazey

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, J. Clausen, J. DiIorio, D. McCants, H. Samavati

Visitors Present: B. Caylor, J. Dahl, M. Gruss, R. Kostrubanic, B. Tombaugh

Attachment:

["Approval of replacement members of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee and the Faculty Affairs Committee"](#) (SD 00-1, as amended)

Acta

1. Call to order: L. Wright-Bower called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
2. Approval of the minutes of April 10, 17, and 24, 2000: The minutes were approved as distributed.
3. Acceptance of the agenda:

M. Downs moved to approve the agenda

The agenda was approved as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
 - a. Purdue University:

J. Silver: On Friday, September 1, I attended the Purdue University Board of Trustees meeting in West Lafayette. This is my approximately twice-yearly opportunity to give a report on behalf of this campus. I described to them our progress toward accreditation and, as I have in the past, I reminded them of our concern about our per-pupil state funding and asked for their support in our effort to rectify this situation. One of the items on their agenda was the approval of a medical benefits policy for the coming year. As we all know, medical costs have continued to rise dramatically and that is reflected in the new plan. There will be an increase in premiums of about \$50-\$150, depending on the plan chosen. The prescription drug co-pay will go up from 20% to 30%. The university is shouldering the lion's share of the increased costs.

You may have heard the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs, Robert Ringel, has announced his retirement. We were asked late this summer to suggest some names for a search committee for his replacement. Brian Fife and I put together a list of names. Senator Peter Hamburger has been chosen to serve on that committee and he has attended the first meeting. I would like to yield the floor to him to make a report.

P. Hamburger: The search for a Provost starts next week. This is an all-new title. There are 21 members on the search committee. This search committee is not like the president's search committee, which included a search committee and a faculty advisory committee. There are three students, two administrators, and the rest are faculty. There is one person from each regional campus, but we are not representing the campus where we are working, we are representative of the president. The search is confidential. It is an open search. They have asked us to nominate and solicit nominations. So, if you know of anyone who is interested in the job, please let me know. It will be absolutely confidential.

The goal is to appoint a new Provost by mid-December. They are interested in people who have served as deans, vice chancellors, chancellors, presidents, or even people who have served in the government or in industry who have had previous experience in academic and university organizations. I don't want to talk about the job. It is basically the Vice President of Academic Affairs--Ringel's job. The Vice President for Research and the Vice President for Student Affairs report directly to the Provost and the Provost reports directly to the President. What is important for us is that the Provost will be in charge of the regional campuses to appoint deans and to work with the chancellors and the President. This is the last time I can give you any information. It is really very, very confidential.

b. Indiana University:

B. Fife: I really don't know many of my fellow colleagues here. I certainly look forward to meeting and working with you in the weeks ahead. I also hope to assist, in any way that I can, in the development of this body as a more deliberative institution on many substantive issues that confront us as stakeholders. Feel free to contact me and share your views and offer any policy counsel that you may have.

Tomorrow I will attend my first University Faculty Council meeting in Bloomington. I will share any relevant information with the IPFW faculty. Thank you.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer (Senate Reference No. 00-1) - L. Wright-Bower:

L. Wright-Bower presented SR No. 00-1 (Report on Senate Documents). SR No. 00-1 is basically a list of the business and motions of last year. Two in particular will carry over into this year's business: one has to do with General Education and the flushing out of a proposal for the computer literacy part of the foundation skills. There was a Senate Document SD 99-22 where, specifically, the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee is going to comment on the Division I athletics, scholarships, operating budgets, and staffing, and report their findings and/or recommendations through the University Resource Policy Committee (URPC) to the Senate.

By September 15 all of the committees should have met and elected a chair. I want to remind you that Barb Blauvelt needs to have the names of the chairs as well as the

minutes from your meetings. If you go to the Senate web page (<http://www.ipfw.edu/senate>) there is a document there that outlines guidelines for the preparation of minutes. Please help us keep the records up to date.

When you are speaking, speak up. The meeting is recorded not so that we can get all of the words of debate down verbatim, but to make sure that the essence of the discussion is in the minutes and properly done so.

6. Committee reports requiring action - Agenda Committee (SD 00-1) - M. Downs:

M. Downs moved to approve SD 00-1 (Approval of replacement member of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee). Seconded.

D. Oberstar moved to amend SD 00-1 to add parallel language to approve Sally Hartman as a replacement member on the Faculty Affairs Committee. Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve SD 00-1, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 00-2):

What is the current status of IPFW's application for Division I status? How is the current situation affecting student athletes now?

As you have probably heard by now, we have received notification from the NCAA that our appeal for an exemption to the Division I membership moratorium has been granted. We continue to work with the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee as they review the budget and have recently submitted updated information to them.

We have worked very hard to minimize the impact on our athletes. We are honoring the scholarship commitment to the small number of athletes who are no longer eligible for participation under the more stringent academic, age, and progress rules of Division I.

In addition, we are currently writing letters of appeal to the NCAA to request a reinstatement of eligibility for the athletes who no longer qualify.

Some of the athletes have been concerned that they will not be eligible to participate in post season play for 2 years (8 years in men's basketball) because of the Division I new membership requirements. While this is truly an unfortunate situation, it is an issue that we would have to confront no matter when the transition to Division I was made.

M. Downs: How many athletes are adversely affected? How many and what percent of the total athletes are affected?

W. Branson: We are aware of 14 athletes. We need to look into their situations. That's out of about 200 athletes.

J. Brennan: What is the likelihood of a waiver of those eligibility rules?

W. Branson: I think in some cases, we have a pretty good chance. It depends which rules you're looking at. In other cases, we may not have such a good chance.

What is the current status for the proposals on this campus to build student housing?

Earlier this summer we solicited developers for information regarding their qualifications to complete a housing project. Of the ten responses, we have selected five developers that we will ask to submit formal proposals. We are currently preparing the detailed document that will be sent to the developers and are planning to ask for proposals later this fall.

In discussions with state officials this summer, we were made aware of a legal problem with the proposed site for the project. The language used by the state in assigning that property for IPFW's use thirty some years ago prohibits us from entering into a long-term lease agreement with that land. A long-term lease is needed to meet the financial requirements of the housing project. The state is very understanding of the problem and we are currently working with them to find a solution. We hope a solution is received very shortly.

B. Fife: How will this project be funded and when will it be completed?

W. Branson: We hope, at this point, to be able to have the facilities open in the fall of 2002. It will be funded entirely out of the fees generated from the rental income of the housing.

B. Fife: Will we manage the facility, or will we hire a private company?

W. Branson: We are still discussing that. There are pluses and minuses of going both ways. We've left that option open.

Why were parking fees and parking fines increased this year? What is the ratio between the number of parking spaces and the number of automobiles that come to this campus each day to park?

In the mid-1990s we started to experience a shortage of parking spaces. Because we anticipated continued enrollment increases, we began to study alternatives to create more parking. After some discussion we chose to consider seriously two options. One was to add more surface parking and the other was to add a second parking garage.

The consideration of these options could be reduced to two issues. Additional surface lots would require the elimination of a significant amount of green/lawn space for their

construction. A second parking garage would cost 3-4 times as much per parking space as surface lots. Since the parking program is a self-supporting operation dependent on user fees, the added cost for a garage would create the need for a significant percentage increase in the parking fees. As we consulted with the campus community we received nearly unanimous consensus for building the second garage, increasing the fees, and saving the green space.

Following a multi-year plan approved by URPC, we have been raising the fees \$6 per year for the past few years and will continue to do so for the next 2 to 3 years until the fees are at a level that will fund the garage debt service.

Parking fines are an unfortunate but necessary part of a parking control program. The parking fines were changed this year because in some cases we felt that the old fines were too low to serve as an effective deterrent to violations. In those cases we raised fines to reflect the severity of a particular violation relative to others.

This fall semester we estimate that at peak times, Tuesday and Thursday, we are at 85% capacity of the 4,757 spaces available.

Can Indiana University employees use their insurance to pay for care which they receive from the Health Center in the student union?

Yes. We have reached an agreement with Parkview that will allow IPFW IU employees under the Anthem program and the M-Plan HMO to use this facility. These employees will be able to use the center as if it were part of those networks.

J. Brennan: What is the Health Center in the student union?

M. Wartell: We made an agreement with Parkview Hospital to open what is basically a "Redimed" kind of center. It is run by nurse practitioners and it will provide emergency services for colds, allergies--all of those sorts of things. It will also be compliant with our wellness program. You can go there anytime as if it were a fee-for-services clinic--which, in fact, it is--and receive medical help.

K. Squadrito: Can they give prescription medications?

M. Wartell: Yes, my assumption is they can **write** prescriptions. Most nurse practitioners get prescriptive licenses in the state.

8. New business:

J. Grant moved to approve the following resolution: That the IPFW faculty is fully in support of the decision of President Myles Brand to fire Coach Bob Knight and to also support him in putting the interests of the university first in that decision. Seconded.

K. Squadrito moved to amend the resolution by dividing the motion into two sentences. Seconded.

Motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

M. Nusbaumer moved to amend the resolution by substituting the word "faculty" with the word "Senate." Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a voice vote.

M. Kimble moved to amend the resolution by deleting reference to firing Coach Knight. Seconded.

Motion to amend passed on a show of hands.

E. Blakemore moved the following substitute resolution:

The Fort Wayne Senate supports Indiana University President Myles Brand in the exercise of his legitimate authority to make personnel decisions that put the interest of Indiana University first. Seconded.

Motion to substitute passed on a voice vote.

Motion passed unanimously.

9. Committee reports "for information only": There were no committee reports.

10. The general good and welfare of the University:

L. Wright-Bower: This is where people can make comments about the good and welfare of the university. I will remind you that you may choose to speak on or off the record. . . . If you don't want your comments on the record, please say so.

J. Silver: I would like to renew a request I made several years ago: that the chairs in this room be replaced before someone is seriously injured.

B. Abbott: I would like to add that the same type of chairs are in Kettler 246 where hundreds of elementary psychology students take their courses each semester. They are in worse condition than these are.

K. Squadrito: I would like to thank the people involved in the decision to pay Purdue University faculty on the first of September.

W. Branson: We have put together a request for remodeling the remainder of Kettler Hall and it will be presented at the next legislative budget session, which will be acted upon this coming spring. If we are successful, we will have enough money to remodel the rest of the building and take care of problems like this.

T. Grove: In regard to these chairs, I would like to point out that there are 10% of us out there who are left-handed and these chairs are particularly nasty to use. If you do replace these chairs, I hope there are a few "lefty" chairs somewhere in the room.

L. Wright-Bower: I would like to remind you that the next meeting is October 16, which is the **third** Monday of the month.

11. The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty