

Minutes of the  
First Regular Meeting of the Fourth Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne  
September 17 and 24, 1984

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of April 9, 1984
3. Acceptance of the agenda
4. Reports of the Speakers of the faculties
  - a. Purdue University - J. Lantz
  - b. Indiana University - M. Downs
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
  - a. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-1) - L. Hess
  - b. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-2) - L. Hess
  - c. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-3) - L. Hess
7. New business
  - Purdue University Intercampus Faculty Council (Senate Document SD 84-4) - J. Lantz
8. The general good and welfare of the University
9. Memorial resolution: John W. Johnson
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:

R. Adams, R. Barrett, D. Bialik, K. Bordens, J. Brennan, J. Bundschuh, C. Butler, J. Carnaghi, J. Chandler, F. Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, M. Crill, J. Davis, L. DeFonso, M. Downs, R. Emery, N. Fincher, L. Fox, E. Goebel, T. Guthrie, J. Haw, L. Hess, R. Hess, S. Hollander, R. Kovara, M. Kubik, J. Lantz, J. Lichti, M. Lipman, C. Maile, D. Mauritzen, D. McAleece, D. McGee, M. Miller, J. Moore, E. Nicholson, M. Nusbaumer, J. Owen, R. Pippert, R. Ramsey, M. Richeson, W. Schlacks, J. Smulkstys, M. Souers, J. Stauffer, J. Ulmer, J. Violette, K. Wakley, W. Worthley, P. Zonakis

Senate Members Absent:

S. Beering, W. Klemme, J. Ryan, S. Slack, J. Sunderman

Representative from Medical Education: Franklin Bryan

---

Attachments:

["Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate \(Change in Subcommittee on Athletics Responsibilities\)"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-1)

["Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate \(Change in Subcommittee on Athletics Membership\)"](#) (Senate Document SD 84-2, As Amended)

Amended Pages of the Bylaws, SD 81-10, As Amended

"IPFW Faculty Representative" (Senate Document SD 84-3, As Amended)  
"Memorial-Resolution for John W. Johnson" (Senate Reference No. 84-1)

Parliamentarian: D. Onwood

Faculty Members Present:

L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), G. Bullion, A. Finco, F. Kenworthy, D. Skelton

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, M. Dinnerstein, N. Newell, I. Patten, M. Roeger, D. Worthley

Acta

1. Call to order: E. Nicholson introduced the new Senators and then called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of April 9, 1984: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

V. Coufoudakis moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.

The agenda was accepted as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

a. J. Lantz:

I have two items from the Purdue University Board of Trustees: (1) Byron Anderson has been elected to serve as a director of the Indiana-Purdue Foundation. He replaces Evelyn Rettinger, whose term is completed. (2) John Hicks' title has been changed to Senior Vice President of Purdue University.

The Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs will be making recommendations to the Acting Chancellor for faculty representation on Panel D.

Any items of interest to Purdue University faculty should be sent to the attention of J. Lantz for consideration by the Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs.

The Intercampus Faculty Council has met this year and continues to work on regional campus course equivalencies. Progress is slow.

b. M. Downs:

I have two items: (1) Many of you who are IU faculty members received a short letter and request for a response from the University regarding life insurance. You are probably aware that the fringe benefits for IU faculty members include life insurance up to twice your annual salary, with an insurance limit of \$50,000. Last year the Fringe Benefits Committee studied the situation and recommended that the faculty be allowed to buy life insurance up to twice their salary at group rates, which is somewhat less expensive than if you bought it from an insurance carrier on your own. The letter which you received, if you make over \$25,000, simply extends to you a one-time opportunity to accept that benefit and to pay a very reduced rate for life insurance up to twice your salary. I urge you to take advantage of this opportunity, because if you want to take advantage of it in the future, you will have to provide them with evidence of your insurability.

(2) You have or will soon be receiving ballots for the election of the panel from which the Acting Chancellor will be selecting the campus Subcommittees on Promotion, Tenure and Sabbatical Leaves for IU faculty members. The process is a good deal less than perfect because the Bylaws require that the name of every faculty member be put on the ballot, but the provisions also stipulate that members of the faculty who serve on the panel have to be people who do not have cases pending; they have to be people from departments where there are cases pending; they have to be tenured unless we run out of tenured faculty members; and they have to be on campus. I ask you please to read, the copy of the Bylaws which we provide with the ballot. If your vote is going to count, it is important for you to ascertain in your division or department who is up for tenure, promotion, or sabbatical leaves who is a chairperson making a decision; and who is on campus. In order to cast an intelligent vote, you are going to have to do more than look at the ballot and then select somebody whom you think is fair and honest and objective. You are going to have to look further than that. . . .

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

E. Nicholson:

I have a brief report. I think most of the summer events have been reported in several places, so I will not go over any of the summer events. Let me go over enrollments: We are approximately 2.8% below last year's fall enrollment in the number of students on campus, and we are approximately 5.8% below last year's credit-hour enrollment. This is about 3.8% below what we anticipated. . . . I don't think the budget consequences are going to be too dire. John Carnaghi and I have met, and the deans and division chairs have responded to several requests for trying to cut out non-essential expenses. They have responded very well. I doubt seriously whether there will be any consequences that any of the divisions or schools will feel this year as a result of the shortfall.

I think that the reason enrollments have fallen is explained if you look at the statistics in terms of freshmen and nondegree students. The freshmen fell 7.9% and the nondegree students fell about 12% in headcount. In almost every other case the statistics show an increase in headcount. With the help of Tom Guthrie, we have come up with two significant factors that explain a fair amount of the variation over the years. The two factors are a change in unemployment and a change in the nineteen-year-old cohort of the population. The nineteen-year-old cohort of the population has turned down this year about twice the amount that it turned down in the 1978-79 period. It is the first time since about that period that there has been a downturn in that statistic in the Fort Wayne area. So a large number of the change in headcount can be attributed to a change in population. We are in for three more years of additional negative changes in this population group before we see an upturn in that population group. The other variable is unemployment. We have fallen from approximately 12% unemployment last fall to 9% this fall.

The Purdue University Board of Trustees met last week and approved a computer-integrated manufacturing technology degree. This is a degree that is being put on all campuses either through the state-wide technology program or through regional campuses, such as ours, which have missions in technology. This includes IU campuses as well, including IUPUI. These degrees will go before the Higher Education Commission for approval. West Lafayette already offers the degree. We anticipate approval.

The [Purdue] Board did, at our request, approve a change in the Constitution of the Faculty. As most of you know, the Constitution is not approved by the Board at Indiana University. It was consented to by President Ryan. I discussed this matter with the President and I think we can assume we have his consent. He doesn't see that it is inconsistent with the Indiana University Constitution.

I have some good news and some bad news about the fine arts facilities. The good news is, I don't think it is going to be opposed by the Commission for Higher Education; the bad news is that it didn't appear in the first 12 priorities of the Commission. Perhaps we need to review the process by which we had other recent buildings approved and see if we can't also get a fine arts facility this coming year. From what I understand, things look very good at the state level from a fiscal point of view and I hope that they will continue to look very good. We did get approval for the remodeling of the Data Processing area.

J. Violette: Will the search and screen committee for the new library director be set up soon?

E. Nicholson Yes, it will.

S. Hollander: The directorship of the library affects all academic departments here in a way that directors and chairs in normal departments don't, and I wondered whether

there will be somewhat broader composition to the search and screen committee for library director than there normally is for a department chair or division head?

E. Nicholson: I believe we are going to follow what took place last time. But we certainly can discuss that at an appropriate time. I have no preconceived notion about how it should be formed? Could you recall for me what it was before?

J. Violette: I believe there were four faculty, two librarians, and two administrators.

E. Nicholson: I suspect we will follow what was done before.

R. Hess: . . . Are there any plans to be more aggressive in trying to recruit from the nineteen-year-old cohort group, and/or are there other plans to be more aggressive in recruiting other cohort groups? Are we going to do something different?

E. Nicholson: Yes, we are. The first thrust will be in that [19-year-olds] area because that is where the greatest amount of competition is going to come from. . . I have a plan on my desk awaiting two proposals from advertising agencies, and I am awaiting the unveiling of a plan from West Lafayette that we are expected to participate in. . . . It is a plan that will probably come before the deans and division chairs . . . and it is anticipated that there will be a large number of offices involved in this aggressive pursuit of that cohort.

R. Hess: Did I read in that paper that West Lafayette also had a decline in enrollment in the freshman class this year?

E. Nicholson: I think they- declined about 400, but I do not have hard data. . . ,

R. Hess: Are the plans currently for the eighteen- to twenty-two-year-old group, or are we looking at other groups?

E. Nicholson: We are looking at other groups, but I think we have come up with a learning curve on that kind of activity, and I don't think there is a better way than to try to direct our efforts to where the main competition is...

C. Butler: I would like confirmation of a rumor that I heard upon returning to Fort Wayne this summer. Is it true that the Commission for Higher Education has recently expressed a favorable view towards declining enrollment, if that serves to enhance the status of the institution as a quality institution of higher education?

E. Nicholson: I hadn't heard that, but it sounds like a reasonable stance and a stance that the Commission would probably take in a state with declining population and changing demographics. I cannot confirm or deny that rumor, but it sounds reasonable.

M. Downs: Congratulations on your appointment as acting chancellor. The list of your responsibilities now include acting chancellor, vice chancellor, dean of the faculty, professor of business, and professor of public and environmental affairs. This seems like an awful lot to do. How is it going and how long can you keep it up?

E. Nicholson: Things are going well. We're having sane long days. Not only am I having sane long days, but Frank Kenworthy and John Carnaghi and others are having some long days. . . . We're getting organized and I'm beginning to delegate some things to Frank Kenworthy and John Carnaghi and others. I am feeling more comfortable this morning than I was last Monday morning, and if you'll ask that question next month I hope to give you a better report than now. I think the high level of activity is a result of the same thing that is occurring in your schedules--the beginning of the year. . . . If the schedule doesn't get any more hectic, we'll be fine; if it gets less hectic, things will go along very well; if they get more hectic, we'll reorganize again.

M. Downs: You have no idea how long this will go on?

E. Nicholson: I have no idea how long it is liable to continue.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-1) - L. Hess

L. Hess moved to approve Senate Document SD 84-1 (Changes in Subcommittee on Athletics Responsibilities). Seconded.

S. Hollander moved to amend SD 84-1 to change a. to read: "Approve participation in seasonal intercollegiate athletic activities with the consent of the Senate." and to change b. to read: "Approve participation in post-season intercollegiate activities." Seconded.

S. Hollander moved a substitute motion to change a. to read "Approve participation in seasonal intercollegiate athletic activities." and to change b. to read: "Approve participation in post-season intercollegiate athletic activities with the consent of the Senate."

E. Nicholson ruled the substitute motion inappropriate.

M. Lipman moved to amend SD 84-1 to change section b. to read: "Approve participation in post-season intercollegiate athletic activities with the consent of the Senate." Seconded.

Motion failed on a show of hands.

Motion to approve SD 84-1 passed on a voice vote.

- b. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-2) - L. Hess:

L. Hess moved to approve SD 84-2 (Change in Subcommittee on Athletics Membership). Seconded.

S. Hollander moved to amend SD 84-2 to add a section c to the amendment of the Bylaws which would read: c) changing the words "Faculty representatives" to "elected Faculty members." Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Motion to approve SD 84-2, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

- c. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-3) - L. Hess:

L. Hess moved to approve SD 84-3 (IPFW Faculty Representative). Seconded.

S. Hollander moved to amend SD 84-3 to change the term of the Faculty Representative's appointment from five years to three years. Seconded.

Motion failed on a show of hands.

J. Owen moved to amend SD 84-3 to change section I.A. to read: The Faculty Representative shall be a faculty member with at least a 0.5 FTE teaching assignment and shall be appointed by the Chancellor from a list of nominees submitted by the Subcommittee on Athletics. Seconded.

The Senate recessed at 1:15 p.m.

Session II  
(September 24)

Senate Members Present:

R. Adams, D. Bialik, K. Bordens, J. Brennan, J. Bundschuh, C. Butler, J. Carnaghi, J. Chandler, F. Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, M. Crill, J. Davis, L. DeFonso, A. Dirkes, M; Downs, R. Emery, L. Fox, E. Goebel, J. Haw, L. Hess, S. Hollander, W. Klenme, R. Kovara, M. Kubik, J. Lantz, J. Lichti, M. Lipman, C. Maile, D. Mauritzen, D. McAlece, D. McGee, M. Miller; J. Moore, E. Nicholson, M. Nusbaumer, J. Owen, R. Pippert, M. Richeson, S. Slack, J. Smulkstys, M. Souers, J. Stauffer, K. Wakley, P. Zonakis

Senate Members Absent:

R. Barrett, S. Beering, N. Fincher, T. Guthrie, R. Hess, R; Ramsey, J. Ryan, W. Schlacks, J. Sunderman, J. Ulmer, J. Violette, W. Worthley

Parliamentarian: D. Onwood

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), F. Kenworthy, D. Skelton

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, D. Gorney, M. Roeger

E. Nicholson called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

c. Student Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 84-3) - L. Hess

J. Owen's motion to amend 5D 84-3 passed on a voice vote.

L. Hess's motion to approve SD 84-3, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

7. New business:

a. J. Lantz withdrew SD 84-4 (Proposed Changes in Section C of the Purdue University Code) from consideration by the Senate.

b. J. Brennan moved that C. Maile be approved, for a one-year term, to fill the vacancy on the Faculty Affairs Committee created by the resignation of R. Wall. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

c. R. Pippert moved the following resolution:

WHEREAS Chancellor Joseph P. Giusti served as Presiding Officer of the Fort Wayne Senate at Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne from 1979 until 1984; and

WHEREAS he acted tirelessly to promote the interests of the campus; and

WHEREAS he has taken leave to serve Indiana University and Purdue University temporarily in a statewide office;

RESOLVED that the Fort Wayne Senate express its appreciation of Chancellor Giusti's efforts on behalf of the campus and its hope for the speedy and successful completion of his special assignment.

Seconded.

8. The general good and welfare of the University:

S. Hollander: I have two different matters I wonder if the chair would care to comment on or to provide additional information about. One is the apparent dispute



over the START center at IPFW that has made the papers recently, and two, I wonder if the Vice Chancellor for Financial Affairs would comment on, any plans he might have to remove the temporary parking lot by the river.

E. Nicholson: I learn something new in the newspaper every day about the START Center. I am not sure that I can sort out what the positions of the various players are from the newspaper accounts. My own impression is that the controversy centers around two competing proposals: One that has been approved for funding by the Corporation for Science and Technology for approximately \$850,000, and another proposal that has gone to the Corporation for Science and Technology from Indiana Tech. I don't know that that has been acted upon yet. They are competing in the sense that they have different views of how to bring a CAD/CAM facility to this community to serve small- and medium-size, and even large, companies. Should both of them be funded, we may be able to meet the needs of this community. Should only one of them be funded, and that is the existing one, there is the concern as to how we cooperate among the institutions for this kind of training—what role Ivy Tech is to play, what role Indiana Tech is to play, what role Tri-State is to play, and what role IPFW is to play. And as you would expect, there is a variety of opinions. What is particularly important is that, since we have the \$850,000 that has been given to START, Inc., the community raise approximately \$500,000 as a part of this effort. Currently the plans are to put a CAD/CAM Institute on this campus if the \$500,000 is raised and is combined with the \$850,000 from CST. But that is in no way a given; it is still being discussed in the community.

M. Downs: In yesterday's paper, there was a difference of opinion as to how fractious this dispute is. There was a quotation from a city official who said it was very polite and academic, . . . but it quoted the president of the Indiana Institute of Technology to somewhat different effect. . . . Which is it?

E. Nicholson: It's polite. The primary difference of opinion appears to be between Indiana Tech and the rest of the institutions involved. The rest of the institutions involved are probably basically in agreement as to how this should transpire.

J. Carnaghi: The temporary parking lot, which was built about a year ago, and at that time was agreed on between President Beering and Chancellor Giusti that that parking lot, and all parking lots, will follow the academic master planning . . . which will drive the need for buildings, parking lots, roadways, etc. That situation has not changed, and the temporary parking lot is still the temporary parking lot. I should point out, though, that while we had a major drop in credit hours, our drop in issuance of parking permits has declined very slightly. We are down around 200 parking permits from the same period last year. Last year DGTS was open, and those people are now out at this facility and not at the DGTS lots where they were last year. Also, we are hoping for the revitalization of our continuing-education programs, which is not yet reflected on our campus in terms of parking. And if you compound that with our entry into

the Great Lakes Valley Conference, . . . I still do not see that we have an excess of parking on the campus. For the time being, the temporary lot will remain as it is now.

M. Downs: What aspect of the academic master plan drove the decision to construct the permanent parking lot which is now north of the Multipurpose Building?

J. Carnaghi: That particular lot was in the planning stages. It was not taken from the academic masterplans. It was in the Multipurpose Building plans. It would have been built regardless of whether the temporary lot was to be built as part of the Multipurpose Building. That decision was made before the master planning began.

D. McAleece: It seems more logical to keep the lot by the river for students who have to go to class in these buildings and wander in from Canterbury Green. . . I am in 100% agreement with what is out here now. It, ought to be paved and even improved. I have had difficulty the first four weeks of the semester in finding an A parking place. For whatever the reason is, there are still people parked on the lawns. So apparently we don't have more parking than we need.

F. Codispoti: That does bring up a question. I don't know if you have been keeping track, but I have driven by the free lot and the new lot around 9:30 a.m., and it seems as though both have a lot of parking spaces, and at the same time students are parking illegally... Are those lots filling up over there?

J. Carnaghi: I don't have a count. I know when we first opened we were filling about half of each lot. But like you said, we have a number of illegal parkers and we have issued an awful lot of tickets. I don't know if they are finding their way to the new lot or not. . . . We still have over 10,000 parking permits issued for 3,200 spaces. We would have a serious problem if they were all here. I don't think we have over-built by any standards. . . .

C. Butler: About 10 or 12 years ago when we had an interim dean or director here, he, I believe, instituted higher parking fees, and one justification was that this money was to go into an account for multi-level parking. Is this money still accumulating for that purpose?

J. Carnaghi: I have trod that ground before. I pulled a document that talked about parking structures, and the fund we are operating out of presently is that fund. I don't know when those higher rates were put into place, or when they were dropped to the rates we now charge, but if we were going to multi-level parking, . . . we certainly would have to assess fees much higher than we're charging now. We do not have the money.

R. Adams: I am director of the speech team and we do a lot of, traveling on the weekends. I have noticed more and more often that it is difficult to get access to the vehicles . . . and I am finding that more and more often I am having to rely on getting private cars from the students or from rental agencies. . . . Quite often we have to

come back late at night on icy roads, and I am quite concerned about the cars my students have to bring on these trips. Given that, is there any way to start considering ways of increasing the number of vans we have?

J. Carnaghi: We are assessing that right now. We feel that because of activities like yours, especially with the increased activity of our athletic program, that a third van can be justified. We would like to add another full-sized vehicle to the fleet. . . .

M. Downs: Wasn't there a proposal last year that we purchase a bus for these trips?

J. Carnaghi: It was rejected.

J. Carnaghi: By Acting President Hicks. There was a proposal to have a special fund drive to raise money for athletics for a \$25,000 van. It was a twelve-passenger minibus. The full-size bus idea was a few years ago. We looked at PTC buses, but it would take an enormous amount of money to get them back into condition. .

9. Memorial resolution: John W. Johnson

The Senate accepted the attached resolution in memory of John W. Johnson. (Senate Reference No. 84-1). Copies of the resolution will be sent to Professor Johnson's family.

10. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt, Secretary